| LEA Name:    | Rochester City School District |
|--------------|--------------------------------|
| School Name: | 261600010000                   |

ENTER DATA INTO YELLOW CELLS. ONCE LEA AND SCHOOL NAMES ARE ENTERED, MULTIPLE DATA POINTS WILL AUTOMATICALLY PRE-POPULATE.

# 2014-2015 School Comprehensive Education Plan (SCEP)

| School Name                | World of Inquiry School       | Contact Name | Sheelarani Webster             |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| Phone                      | 585-325-6170                  | Email        | sheelarani.webster@rcsdk12.org |
| Website Link for Published | http://rcsdk12.org/Page/32728 |              |                                |
| Plan                       |                               |              |                                |

# APPROVAL OF THIS PLAN BY THE SUPERINTENDENT AND BOARD OF EDUCATION (IN NEW YORK CITY, THE CHANCELLOR OR THE CHANCELLOR'S DESIGNEE) IS MANDATORY.

Implementation is required no later than the first day of regular student attendance.

Signatures confirm the respective parties certify that the DCIP addresses all of the required components of the ESEA Flexibility Waiver as detailed on page 1 of this document and understand that any significant modification of the school district's approved plan require the prior approval of the commissioner.

### THE SIGNATURES BELOW CONFIRM APPROVAL.

| Position                       | Signature | Print Name                         | Date |
|--------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|------|
|                                |           | Bolgen Vargas, Ed. D.              |      |
| Superintendent                 |           |                                    |      |
| President, B.O.E. / Chancellor |           | Van Henri White, President, B.O.E. |      |
| or Chancellor's Designee       |           |                                    |      |

**School Name:** 261600010000

# **School Leadership Team**

**SCHOOL LEADERSHIP TEAM:** The SCEP must be developed in consultation with parents, school staff, and others pursuant to §100.11 of Commissioner's Regulations. Participants who are regularly involved in your district and school improvement initiatives, such as community organizations or institutes of higher education should be included. By signing below, stakeholders ascertain that, although they may not agree with all components of the plan, they have actively participated in the development and revision of the DCIP.

**Instructions:** List of stakeholders who participated in developing the SCEP as required by Commissioner's Regulations §100.18. Provide dates, locations, agendas and supporting documentation of Local Stakeholder meetings. Boxes should be added as necessary.

| Name               | Title / Organization | Signature          |
|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Sheelarani Webster | Principal            | approved           |
| Robert Bond        | SBPT, Teacher        | approved via email |
| Ingrid Dickson     | SBPT, Teacher        | approved via email |
| Karen Dingwall     | SBPT, Teacher        | approved via email |
| Kerry Robertson    | SBPT, Teacher        | approved via email |
| Mahreen George     | SBPT, Parent         | approved via email |
| Wendy Low          | SBPT, Parent         | approved via email |
| Mary Ellen West    | SBPT, Parent         | approved via email |
|                    |                      |                    |
|                    |                      |                    |
|                    |                      |                    |
|                    |                      |                    |
|                    |                      |                    |

| Meeting Date(s) | Locations(s) | Agenda Attached | Supporting Documents Included? |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
|                 |              |                 |                                |
|                 |              |                 |                                |
|                 |              |                 |                                |
|                 |              |                 |                                |
|                 |              |                 |                                |

**School Name:** 261600010000

# **School Information Sheet #1**

| The data needed to complete the chart below can be found online at www.nysed.gov |                      |   |  |  |      |   |                   |                             |  |                |          |
|--|----------------------|---|--|--|------|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|--|----------------|----------|
| <b>School Informat</b>   | ion Sheet            |   |  |  |      |   |                   |                             |  |                |          |
| Grade<br>Configuration   | K-12                 | Total Student<br>Enrollment                             | 707                                      | % Title I<br>Population                        | 100% | % Attendance<br>Rate                                    | 96%               | % Student<br>Sustainability | 93%                                      |                |          |
| % of Students<br>Eligible for Free<br>Lunch                                      | 76%                  | % of Students<br>Eligible for<br>Reduced-Price<br>Lunch | 3%                                       | % of Limited<br>English Proficient<br>Students | 3%   | % of Students<br>with Disabilities                      | 16%               |                             |  |                |          |
| Racial/Ethnic Or   | igin of District Stu | ident Population  |  |  |      |   |                   |                             |  |                |          |
| % American<br>Indian or Alaska<br>Native   | 0%                   | % Black or<br>African American                          | 73%                                      | % Hispanic or<br>Latino                        | 11%  | % Asian, Native<br>Hawaiian / Other<br>Pacific Islander | 3%                | % White                     | 13%                                      | % Multi-Racial | 0%       |
| School Personne  | el                   |   |  |  |      |   |                   |                             |  |                |          |
| Years Principal Ass  | signed to School     |   | # of Assistant Prince                    | cipals   | 3    | # of Deans  |                   | 0                           | # of Counselors / S                      | ocial Workers  | 2        |
| % of Teachers with<br>Certificate  | n No Valid Teaching  | 0%  | % of Teachers Tea<br>Certification Area  | ching Out of                                   | 2%   | % Teaching with Fe<br>of Experience                     | ewer than 3 Years | 2%                          | Average # of Teach                       | er Absences    | 1.6 Days |
| Overall State Ac   | countability Statu   | S   |  |  |      |   |                   |                             |  |                |          |
| Priority School  |                      | No  | Focus School Iden<br>District            | tified by a Focus                              | Yes  | SIG(a) Recipient  |                   | Yes                         | SIG(g) Recipient                         |                | No       |
| Identification for E   | ELA?                 | No  | Identification for N                     | Math?  | Yes  | Identification for So                                   | cience?           | No                          | Identification for H<br>Graduation Rate? | igh School     |          |
| 4  | at Level 3 and Level | 19%   | Math Performance<br>Level 4              |  | 12%  | Science Performan<br>Level 4                            |                   | 76%                         | Four-Year Graduati<br>(HS Only)          |                |          |
| % of 1st Year Stud<br>10+ Credits (HS Or   |                      |   | % of 2nd Year Stud<br>10+ Credits (HS Or |  |      | % of 3rd Year Stude<br>10+ Credits (HS On               |                   |                             | Six-Year Graduation (HS Only)            | n Rate         |          |

**School Name:** 261600010000

# **District Information Sheet #2**

# The data needed to complete the chart below can be found online at www.nysed.gov

| Did Not Meet Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in ELA |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| American Indian or Alaska Native                   | Black or African American                       |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino                                 | Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander |  |  |  |
| White  | Multi-Racial                                    |  |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities                         | Limited English Proficient                      |  |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged                         |   |  |  |  |

|  | Did Not Meet Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in Mathematics |  |                            |  |  |
|--|--|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American         |  |  |                            |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander |  |  |                            |  |  |
| White  |  |  | Multi-Racial               |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities   |  |  | Limited English Proficient |  |  |
| X  | Economically Disadvantaged                                 |  |                            |  |  |

|   | Did Not Meet Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in Science |  |                            |  |  |
|---|--|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| Amer  | erican Indian or Alaska Native                         |  | Black or African American  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander                    |  |  |                            |  |  |
| White   | te   |  | Multi-Racial               |  |  |
| Stude   | lents with Disabilities                                |  | Limited English Proficient |  |  |
| Econo   | Economically Disadvantaged                             |  |                            |  |  |
| Did Not Meet Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for Effective Annual Measurable Objective |  |  |                            |  |  |
| Limited English Proficient  |  |  |                            |  |  |

**School Name:** 261600010000

#### **SCEP Overview**

In this section, the School must describe the development of the plan, the degree to which the previous school year's SCEP was successfully implemented, overall improvement mission or guiding principles at the core of the strategy for executing the mission/guiding principles, the key design elements of the SCEP, and other unique characteristics of the plan (if any), and provide evidence of the School's capacity to effectively oversee and manage the improvement plan.

The SCEP must be made widely available through public means, such as posting on the Internet, by the School. The Overview will serve as the at-a-glance summary of how the School will use various funding sources to improve student achievement. This Overview should be no more than five pages in length. A complete overview will address the following:

| 1. Using the drop-down menu, rate the degree to which the School achieved the goals identified in the previous year's School Comprehensive Education Plan.  | 3 = Moderate Degree (At least 50% of goals were achieved.)                             |
|---|--|
| Using the drop-down menu, rate the degree to which the School successfully implemented the activities identified in the previous year's School Comprehensive Education Plan.                                  | 3 = Moderate Degree (At least 50% of activities were carried out.)                     |
| 3. Using the drop-down menu, rate the degree to which the activities identified in the previous year's School Comprehensive Education Plan impacted academic achievement targets for identified               | 2 = Partial Degree (Some of the identified subgroups improved achievement.)            |
| subgroups.  4. Using the drop-down menu, rate the degree to which the activities identified in the previous year's School Comprehensive Education Plan increased Parent Engagement.                           | 4 = Major Degree (There was a significant increase in the level of Parent Engagement.) |
| 5. Using the drop-down menu, rate the degree to which the activities identified in the previous year's School Comprehensive Education Plan received the funding necessary to achieve the corresponding goals. | 3 = Moderate Degree (At least 50% of planned activities were funded.)                  |
| 6. Using the drop-down menu, identify in which Tenet the School made the most growth during the previous year.  | Tenet 6: Family and Community Engagement   |

### • List the strengths of the previous year's plan.

1. Identifying three major components in an effort to deepen the implementation of CCLS and instructional shifts into daily practice with the overall goal of improving student achievement: Lesson Design which uses the components of Workshop 2.0; Data Driven Instructional Practices; Student Engaged Assessment Practices (Assessment for Learning). 2. Using the three common goals which connected the student achievement targets with three components of instructional practice across all tenants. 3. Using the SCEP goals and activities to develop the Expeditionary Learning Work Plan goals and activities.

### • List the weaknesses of the previous year's plan.

The recommendations were based on a variable self-assessment process versus a State/District led review. There was a great deal of variance in the ratings applied to each tenant because the process did not include a full set of evidence based measures. The State/District review process offered descriptive feedback based on observations, discussion and review of data/materials etc. This allowed for a more accurate analysis of strengths and weaknesses in preparing the SCEP for the 2014-15 school year.

### In developing the **CURRENT** plan:

#### • List the highlights of the initiatives described in the current SCEP. How are these initiatives supported through all funding sources?

The school will continue to implement the following: 1. Lesson Design that is aligned with implementing the CCLS and instructional shifts into daily practice. Daily lessons will include the following components: engage and grapple, discuss, focus, apply and synthesize. Formative and Student-Engaged assessment will be fully infused into the lesson design described above. Specific focus and emphasis will be placed on the strategies to expand and deepen differentiation of instruction for all students including students with disabilities. In addition, the implementation of effective discussion and questioning strategies to promote higher order thinking will also be a major focus of informal, formal observations and professional development opportunities. All funding sources will be aligned to support the deepening of daily practice in all classrooms and grade levels.

**School Name:** 261600010000

#### • List the timeline of events that led to the creation of the current plan.

1. February- State/District Led Review Process; 2. February and March- Review of preliminary report received from the review team with SBPT and full-staff; 3. March and April- Review of the final report from the review team with SBPT and full staff; 4. April, May, and June- Gathering of multiple measures of pertinent data (formative, summative, anecdotal, surveys, EL Implementation Review). May and June- SBPT members and Principal worked the revision process for the SCEP plan, identified the recommendations, goals and activities for all six tenants.

#### • List all the ways in which the current plan will be made widely available to the public.

The 2014-15 plan will be communicated to all members for the school community through town hall meetings, curriculum nights, newsletters, staff and parent meetings, SBPT representatives and Design Task Teams, the school and parent website and visuals displayed at the school.

### • List the identified needs in the school that will be targeted for improvement in this plan.

The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students in grades K-8 will achieve proficiency levels in math and ELA as designated by formative assessment including report cards, interim assessments, NWEA, AIMS Web, and student work through the implementation of Common Core lesson structures (Workshop 2.0), data-driven instruction and student engaged assessments. The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students in grades 3-12 will demonstrate an increase of 10% of proficiency and beyond on all NYS exams in all content areas through the implementation of Common Core lesson structures (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and student engaged assessments.

All WOIS teachers will use the school wide student engaged assessment practices of cold call/opt-out, learning target trackers, exit tickets, active question and discussion protocols for 80% of instructional plans

### • State the mission or guiding principles of the school and describe the relationship between the mission or guiding principles and the identified needs of the school.

As an Expeditionary Learning (EL) school the guiding principle of the school is to implement CCLS, instructional shifts and EL Core practices with fidelity while ensuring that students are engaged in learning that is rigorous, purposeful and relevant. The school's mission is also to continually develop structures and practices which support the growth of the whole child (scholarship, citizenship and leadership) in a safe, caring and nurturing environment.

### • State the strategy and timeline to accomplish the mission or guiding principles.

The process to accomplish the mission or guiding principles call for urgent and deep attention to daily instructional planning and delivery in every classroom. This beings with the long range planning of grade level or content based expeditions, case-studies, projects and products using the CCLS and NYS instructional modules. Next, the long range planning will be scaffolded into weekly and daily instruction with clear and focused emphasis on the following components: Lesson Design/Structures, Data-Driven Instruction and Student Engaged Assessment strategies and protocols. In each of the components listed, particular attention will be paid the process of differentiation of instruction to better meet the needs of all students and implementation of questioning and discussion strategies to promote higher level thinking.

#### • Describe school structures that support strategic implementation of the mission/guiding principles.

1. common planning times whenever possible; 2. planning grade level expeditions, 3. use of EL trained coach to support teachers in grades K-6; 4. Weekly grade level meetings that are structured in clusters or content areas; 5. nurturing a culture of collaboration among all stakeholders; 6. continued partnership with Expeditionary Learning; 7. Community partnerships; 8. Channeling all available funding to support with deepening of daily practice, implementation of CCLS and EL core practices with fidelity and to providing students with additional time or resources for focused intervention including intervention and extended learning opportunities.

**School Name:** 261600010000

#### • List anticipated barriers that may impact the ability to accomplish the mission or guiding principles.

1. training of itinerant staff members who are assigned to multiple buildings; 2. limited common planning time and resources among teachers in grades 9-12 needed to plan and implement inquiry and project based learning experiences for teachers; 3. scheduling and providing professional development opportunities that will allow for teachers K-12 to attend and interact to achieve the common goals.

#### • List the student academic achievement targets for the identified subgroups in the current plan.

The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students in grades K-8 will achieve proficiency levels in math and ELA as designated by formative assessment including report cards, interim assessments, NWEA, AIMS Web, and student work through the implementation of Common Core lesson structures (Workshop 2.0), data-driven instruction and student engaged assessments. The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students in grades 3-12 will demonstrate an increase of 10% of proficiency and beyond on all NYS exams in all content areas through the implementation of Common Core lesson structures (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and student engaged assessments.

#### • List the data sets that were analyzed to determine prioritized professional development.

1. formative and summative assessment results; 2. Expeditionary Learning Implementation Review; 3. Report from the State/District led review team; 4. Feedback from members of the SBPT, professional development committee, and EL school designers; 5. Data gathered through formal and informal observations.

#### • List the data sets that were analyzed to determine prioritized professional development.

see the box above

### • List the professional development options that will be provided. For each option, describe the delivery method and the change(s) in practice that will be evident as a result.

1. Workshop Sessions each month on the topics of differentiation and strategic questioning and discussion strategies; 2. Weekly grade level meetings to examine imagine implementation of CCLS, curricula, use of data to drive instruction, formative and student engaged assessment practices; 3. Coaching Cycles for support with lesson design, use of data to drive instruction, formative and student engaged assessment strategies/practices and using the close reading protocol across grade levels and content areas; 4. Planning sessions with EL school designers to support with integrating and expanding CCLS and connected curricula to create grade level expeditions; 6. Learning Walks to engage teachers, coaches and administrators in collaborative opportunities to gather observational data, identify patterns, pose probing questions and connect further to the professional development planning process; 7. Collegial Circles to study common text such as Leaders of their Own Learning and Mindset to discuss and further the implementation of school wide practices and protocols; 8. WOIS Summer Institute for K-12 team building, planning with grade level and content area team members, review of school wide goals and expectations; creating the character traits list and corresponding rubric 9. Expeditionary Learning institutes, summits and conferences will build leadership skills for teacher leaders, school leaders and parent leaders, train new and current staff in understanding and implementing EL core practices; 10. Parent Teacher Crew meetings will include opportunities for teachers and staff to expand knowledge about the community, culture and experiences through the lens of the parent community and leadership.

### • List all methods of communication that school leaders will implement to strengthen relationships with school staff and the community.

The 2014-15 plan will be communicated to all members for the school community through town hall meetings, curriculum nights, newsletters, staff and parent meetings, SBPT representatives and Design Task Teams, the school and parent website and visuals displayed at the school. School leadership will also attend parent sponsored events and meetings, attend district wide events and initiatives. School leaders will also participate in home visits, meet with community and business leaders to share the school's mission, goals, strengths and needs.

**School Name:** 261600010000

# **Tier 1: List of Prioritized Activities for Improvement**

Use this table to demonstrate costs associated with Tier 1 Prioritized Activities including: Systemic Planning Training, Expanded Learning Time Programs, Community Schools Programs, and Professional Development (if applicable).

| Tenet: Identify the<br>Tenet to be<br>addressed by the<br>selected Tier I<br>activities. | Category: Identify the Tier 1 Prioritized Activity that will be implemented. | all Federal, State, and<br>Local fund sources that | Timeline: Identify the projected start date for each activity. | Timeline: Identify the projected end date for each activity. |
|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

LEA Name: Rochester City School District
School Name: 261600010000

Tier 2: List of Allowable Activities for Improvement

| Budget    | Tier 2 Activity | Tier 2 Activity Description  |
|-----------|-----------------|--|
|           | Tier 2-1        | Public School Choice (Up to 20% of the LEA set-aside).   |
|           | Tier 2-2        | Supplemental Educational Services (Up to 30% of the LEA set-aside).  |
|           | Tier 2-3        | Costs associated with deploying on-site visit teams that will use the Diagnostic Tool for School and District Effectiveness to identify a school's or district's current position relative to desired educational practices.   |
|           | Tier 2-4        | Reasonable and necessary expenses associated with appointment of a Distinguished Educator to assist the district and schools in implementing systemic, whole-school reform and effective turnaround strategies.  |
|           | Tier 2-5        | Reasonable and necessary expenses associated with the Outside Educational Experts (OEE) attendance at DTSDE Institutes and in assisting the district in the development and implementation of the District Comprehensive Improvement Plan (DCIP) and School Comprehensive Education Plans (SCEP).  |
|           | Tier 2-6        | Reasonable and necessary expenses associated with the Outside Educational Experts (OEE) 's participation in district-led DTSDE visits.   |
|           | Tier 2-7        | Costs associated with participation in New York State sponsored Professional Learning Communities (PLC).   |
|           | Tier 2-8        | Costs associated with participation in professional development activities to develop and implement successful family and community engagement practices.  |
| \$518,483 | Tier 2-9        | Costs (e.g., substitutes, stipends) associated with participation in professional development activities to implement the CCSS, curriculum-embedded formative assessments based on enhanced New York State Standards (including the CCSS), including professional development in using information systems that track assessment outcomes.   |
| \$279,087 | Tier 2-10       | Costs (e.g., substitutes, stipends) associated with participation in professional development activities to implement a behavior management program.   |
| \$63,800  | Tier 2-11       | Costs (e.g., substitutes, stipends) associated with participation in professional development activities to implement Response to Intervention (RtI) that are aligned with academic intervention services.   |
|           | Tier 2-12       | Costs associated with training/certifying teacher evaluators, instructional coaches, teacher leaders etc. in conducting evidence based observations using the District's teacher practice rubric, training in coaching and feedback on instructional practice, and developing/assessing student learning objectives as part of teacher evaluation system.  |
| \$146,033 | Tier 2-13       | Costs to develop and implement local formative and summative assessments across all grade levels and subject areas, consistent with New York State Standards, the provisions of Education Law § 3012-c, related to academic intervention services and applicable Commissioner's regulations.   |
|           | Tier 2-14       | Costs associated with the professional development of teachers (and their principals/ instructional supervisors) who will develop and implement CTE courses in which increased percentages of historically underserved students will enroll.   |
|           | Tier 2-15       | Costs to purchase of equipment and other curricular materials for CTE courses used by teachers in which increased percentages of historically underserved students will enroll.  |
|           | Tier 2-16       | Costs associated with the training and professional development for teachers (and their principals/instructional supervisors) who will design and implement Advanced Placement (AP), International Baccalaureate (IB), and/or Cambridge (Advanced International Certificate of Education [AICE] or International General Certificate of Secondary Education [IGCSE]) courses in the subjects for which, as of September 30, 2010, NYSED has approved an alternate assessment pursuant to 8 NYCRR §100.2(f), in which increased percentages of historically underserved students will enroll. |
|           | Tier 2-17       | Costs to provide courses and related training and professional development for teachers (and their principals/instructional supervisors) who will design and implement Virtual/Blended AP, IB, and/or Cambridge (AICE or IGCSE) courses in the subjects for which, as of September 30, 2010, NYSED has approved an alternative assessment pursuant to 8 NYCRR §100.2(f), in which increased percentages of historically underserved students will enroll.  |
|           | Tier 2-18       | Costs to train teachers and administrators in the use of data systems, aligned course sequences, and early college and career school models, between post-secondary institutions and P-12 systems.   |

LEA Name: Rochester City School District
School Name: 261600010000

# Tier 2: List of Allowable Activities for Improvement

| Budget    | Tier 2 Activity | Tier 2 Activity Description   |
|-----------|-----------------|---|
|           | Tier 2-19       | Costs to develop and implement school-based Inquiry Teams as defined in the state's RTTT application.   |
| \$116,523 | Tier 2-20       | Professional development for teachers and leaders on the analysis of real-time student data to inform instruction.  |
|           | Tier 2-21       | Costs to provide supplemental compensation, consistent with local collective bargaining agreements, through a career ladder program, to highly effective teachers providing academic intervention services in hard-to-staff subjects or specialty areas in high-needs schools who mentor, coach, or provide professional development to student teachers, new teachers, or teachers rated as ineffective, developing, or effective in high-needs schools.   |
|           | Tier 2-22       | Costs to provide supplemental compensation consistent with local collective bargaining agreements, for teachers providing academic intervention services through a career ladder program, to effective or highly effective teachers in hard-to-staff subjects or specialty areas who transfer from low- or moderate-needs schools to high-needs schools.  |
|           | Tier 2-23       | Costs associated to develop and implement one of the four school intervention models (turnaround model, restart model, school closure, or transformation model) and the Secretary's turnaround principles, consistent with the requirements of the New York State SIG application and the State's theory of action of intervening and supporting low-performing districts and schools.  |
|           | Tier 2-24       | Costs related to plan for LEA and State-approved partner organization arrangements (EPO, CMO, charter school operator) activities for implementation of one of the four school intervention models or a whole-school change model aligned with the Secretary's turnaround principles in the year following school re-design.  |
|           | Tier 2-25       | Costs related to providing academic intervention services as well as costs associated with creating professional development for all teachers working with English Language Learners, on research-proven strategies for those students; costs associated with hiring additional staff to develop or expand programs for English Language Learners or targeted programs for high-needs English Language Learners such as long-term ELLs, SIFE, or ELLs with disabilities; costs associated with integrating bilingual instruction into ELL programs; costs associated with materials that promote English and native language development. |
|           | Tier 2-26       | Costs of training for and/or hiring of internal/external trained evaluators to conduct teacher observations and complete the processes for HEDI documentation and recommendations for teacher professional growth as indicated.   |
|           | Tier 2-27       | Costs associated with operating a preschool program for eligible children consistent with Title I requirements (see USDE's April 16, 2012 non-regulatory guidance regarding the use of Title I, Part A funds to serve preschool children).  |
|           | Tier 2-28       | A school may propose an alternative activity that aligns with one or more tenet areas to be approved by the NYSED. To gain approval, a district will provide a narrative that: (1) describes the activity; (2) lists the student data that led to this decision; (3) describes the theory behind this action and the expected student outcomes; (4) describes the changes in teacher practice that will occur as a result of this activity; and (5) describes the ongoing evaluation process.   |

\$0 Total Funds Budgeted for Tier 1: Prioritized Activities (Sum of all costs listed in the "Tier 1 Prioritized Activities" tab)
\$1,123,926

\$1,123,926

Total Funds Budgeted for Tier 2: Allowable Activities for Improvement (Sum of all costs listed above)

Total Funds Budgeted for Improvement Activities

**School Name:** 261600010000

# **Tenet 2: School Leader Practices and Decisions**

| A. Statement of Practice  | Addressed  |                                    |                                    |  | B1. HEDI Rating            | B2. HEDI Rating Source       |                          |  |
|---------------------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| SOP 2.2 - The School le   | eader ensures that the school community shares the Specif<br>that address the priorities outlined  |                                    | • •                                | T) goals/mission and long-term vision  | Effective                  | District-L                   | ed Review                |  |
| C. Major Recommendati     | ion(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the major re   | commendation(s) and source c       | itation; if a need that is not cor | tained in a major recommendation bu    | it is aligned to the 6 ten | ets is identified, the distr | ct should address the    |  |
| identified need within th | ne plan and provide a strong rationale explaining why the  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
| Recommendation /          | The school leader will champion the implementation of c  |                                    | chievement and well-being of a     | school community of stakeholders acc   | ountable for working to    | realize the long-term vision | n and the school         |  |
| Rationale #1 -            | priorities as outlined in the SCEP and Expeditionary Learn   | ing Work Plan.                     |                                    |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
| Recommendation /          |  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
| Rationale #2 -            |  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
| Recommendation /          |  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
| Rationale #3 -            |  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
| D1. Goal(s): Must be in   | direct alignment with the achievement of the major reco  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                              | AIN (C) A/-b - 1 - 1 - 1 |  |
| Goal #1                   | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students  |                                    |                                    |  | cluding report cards, int  | erim assessments, NWEA,      | Alivis Web, and student  |  |
| <u> </u>                  | work through the implementation of Common Core lessor  |                                    |                                    |  |                            | ha implamentation of Com     | aman Cara lassan         |  |
| Goal #2                   | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students  |                                    | an increase of 10% of proficier    | icy and beyond on all NYS exams in all | content areas through t    | ne implementation of Cor     | imon core lesson         |  |
|                           | structures (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and st  | udent engaged assessments.         |                                    |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
| Goal #3                   | All WOIS teachers will use the school wide student engaged assessment practices of cold call/no opt-out, learning target trackers, exit tickets, strategic question and discussion protocols for 80% of instructional plans. |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
| D2: Goal(s): List the     | E. Activity(ies): Must detail the actions that will take   | F. Improvement/ Parent             | G. Allowable Activity: If the      | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all        | I. District Cost(s):       | K1. Timeline: Identify       | K2. Timeline: Identify   |  |
| number of the goal to     | place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).  |                                    | activity satisfies the             | Federal, State, and Local fund         | Identify the district      | the projected start date     | the projected end date   |  |
| which the proposed        |  | Identify if the activity satisfies | Improvement set-aside,             | sources that will be used for the      | cost associated with       | for each activity.           | for each activity.       |  |
| activity aligns.          |  | one of the mandated set-aside      |                                    | completion of each activity.           | each fund source.          |                              |                          |  |
|                           |  | requirements.                      | allowable activity supported.      |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
|                           |  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
|                           | Weekly learning walks will feeus on the following  |                                    |                                    | General Fund                           | \$16,535                   | September 1, 2014            | June 1, 2015             |  |
|                           | Weekly learning walks will focus on the following components of instructional practice: cold call/opt-out,   |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
|                           | learning target trackers, exit tickets, active question and  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
| Goal #3                   | discussion protocols. The data gathered will be  | Improvement                        | Tier 2-9                           |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
|                           | displayed and shared through weekly newsletters, grade   |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
|                           | level/content area meetings, and posters/charts.   | · <del>-</del>                     |                                    |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
|                           | levely content area meetings, and posters/charts.  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
|                           |  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
|                           |  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
|                           |  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
|                           |  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
|                           |  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                              |                          |  |
|                           |  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                              |                          |  |

Total \$16,535

**School Name:** 261600010000

# **Tenet 2: School Leader Practices and Decisions**

| A. Statement of Practice      | Addressed   |  | nooi Leader Practices an          | <u>u Decisions</u>   | B1. HEDI Rating  | B2. HEDI Rating Source   |  |
|-------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|                               | SOP 2.3 - Leaders make strategic decisions  | to organize programmatic, huma   | an, and fiscal capital resources. |  | Highly Effective   |  | ed Review  |
| C. Major Recommendation       | on(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the major re   | commendation(s) and source ci  | tation; if a need that is not cor | tained in a major recommendation bu  | it is aligned to the 6 ter   | nets is identified, the distri   | ict should address the   |
| identified need within th     | e plan and provide a strong rationale explaining why the  | need is being addressed.   |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /              | School leadership will continue to collaborate with staff t   | o create and use transparent sys   | stems and protocols that afford   | s students and teachers the ability to fu  | ılly benefit from a flexib   | le and thoughtful program  | which includes a creative  |
|                               | expanded learning program aligned to student achievem   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /              |   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| Rationale #2 -                |   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /              |   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| Rationale #3 -                |   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |
|                               | irect alignment with the achievement of the major recor   | mmendation or identified need.   | They should be written as spe     | cific, measurable, attainable, and rele  | vant to the recommend  | dation.  |  |
| Goal #1                       | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students structures (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and st   | in grades 3-12 will demonstrate udent engaged assessments                                    | an increase of 10% of proficier   | cy and beyond on all NYS exams in all  | content areas through  | the implementation of Con  |  |
| Goal #2                       | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students structures (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and st   | _  | an increase of 10% of proficier   | icy and beyond on all NYS exams in all   | content areas through  | the implementation of Con  | nmon Core lesson   |
| <u>Goal #3</u>                | All WOIS teachers will use the school wide student engag  | ed assessment practices of cold  | call/ no opt-out, learning targe  | trackers, exit tickets, strategic questio  | n and discussion protoc  | ols for 80% of instructiona  | l plans.   |
|                               | place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).   | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside |                                   | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all<br>Federal, State, and Local fund<br>sources that will be used for the<br>completion of each activity. | I. District Cost(s):<br>Identify the district<br>cost associated with<br>each fund source. | K1. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected start date<br>for each activity. | K2. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected end date<br>for each activity. |
| Goal #1<br>Goal #2<br>Goal #3 | The school will create and implement a living contract in collaboration with RTA and RCSD to ensure that Expeditionary Learning practices are implemented with fidelity in all classrooms and across content areas. | Not Applicable   |                                   |  |  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |
|                               |   |  |                                   |  |  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |
|                               |   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |
|                               |   |  |                                   | Total  | \$n  |  |  |

Total \$0

**School Name:** 261600010000

### Tenet 2: School Leader Practices and Decisions

| A. Statement of Practice   | Addressed   | Tellet 2. 3ci  | nool Leader Practices and          | u Decisions  | B1. HEDI Rating  | B2. HEDI Rating Source   |  |  |
|--|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | der has a fully functional system in place aligned to the di  | strict's Annual Professional Perfo<br>er practices based on student dat                      |                                    | uct targeted and frequent observation  | Effective  |  | ed Review  |  |
| ·  | ion(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the major rone plan and provide a strong rationale explaining why the   |  | itation; if a need that is not con | itained in a major recommendation bu   | It is aligned to the 6 ten   | ets is identified, the distri  | ct should address the  |  |
| Recommendation /   | The school leadership team will implement the school's o  | •  |                                    | based feedback that is directly aligned  | to established criteria ir   | ncluding the teaching rubri  | c and other agreed upon  |  |
| Rationale #1 - Recommendation /  | evaluation instruments which encourages, supports staff   | to take ownership for the next s   | tage of their development.         |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rationale #2 -   |   |  |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /<br>Rationale #3 -   |   |  |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | direct alignment with the achievement of the major reco   | mmendation or identified need.   | . They should be written as spe    | cific, measurable, attainable, and relev   | vant to the recommend  | ation.   |  |  |
| Goal #1  | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students work through the implementation of Common Core lessor   | on structures (Workshop 2.0), da   | ta-driven instruction and studer   | nt engaged assessments.  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal #2  | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students structures (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and st   |  | an increase of 10% of proficien    | ncy and beyond on all NYS exams in all   | content areas through t  | the implementation of Com  | nmon Core lesson   |  |
| <u>Goal #3</u>   | All WOIS teachers will use school wide student engaged assessment practices of cold-call/ no opt-out, learning target trackers, exit tickets, strategic question/discussion protocols in 80% of all instructional plans.  |  |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| D2: Goal(s): List the<br>number of the goal to<br>which the proposed<br>activity aligns. | E. Activity(ies): Must detail the actions that will take place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).  | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside |                                    | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all<br>Federal, State, and Local fund<br>sources that will be used for the<br>completion of each activity. | I. District Cost(s):<br>Identify the district<br>cost associated with<br>each fund source. | K1. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected start date<br>for each activity. | K2. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected end date<br>for each activity. |  |
| Goal #3  | Regular collaborative learning walks will focus on the following components of instructional practice: cold call/opt-out, learning target trackers, exit tickets, active question and discussion protocols. The data gathered will be displayed and shared through weekly | Improvement  | Tier 2-9                           |  | Cost captured 2.2  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |  |
|  | The school will pilot and implement student centered  |  |                                    | General Fund   | \$21,905   | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |  |
| Goal #3  | coaching collegial circles, teams and cycles focused on<br>the following components: cold call/opt-out, learning<br>target trackers, exit tickets, active question and<br>discussion protocols.   | Improvement  | Tier 2-9                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal #3  | the following components: cold call/opt-out, learning target trackers, exit tickets, active question and  | Improvement  | Tier 2-9                           |  |  |  |  |  |

Total \$21,905

**School Name:** 261600010000

### Tenet 2: School Leader Practices and Decisions

|  |   | Tenet 2: Sc  | hool Leader Practices an           | d Decisions   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| A. Statement of Practice   | Addressed   |  |                                    |   | B1. HEDI Rating  | B2. HEDI Rating Source   |  |
|  | effectively use evidence-based systems and structures to e<br>curriculum and teacher practices; leadership developmen   | •  | •                                  | •   | Highly Effective   | District-L   | ed Review  |
|  | ion(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the major re<br>ne plan and provide a strong rationale explaining why the   |  | itation; if a need that is not cor | tained in a major recommendation b  | out is aligned to the 6 ter  | nets is identified, the distri   | ct should address the  |
| Recommendation /<br>Rationale #1 -   | The school leader in collaboration with the school comm   | unity will monitor systems that a  | address practices used by staff n  | nembers connected to improvement a  | areas in the SCEP.   |  |  |
| Recommendation /<br>Rationale #2 -   |   |  |                                    |   |  |  |  |
| Recommendation / Rationale #3 -  |   |  |                                    |   |  |  |  |
| D1. Goal(s): Must be in  | direct alignment with the achievement of the major reco   |  |                                    |   |  |  |  |
| <u>Goal #1</u>   | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students work through the implementation of Common Core lesson   | on structures (Workshop 2.0), da   | ta-driven instruction and studer   | nt engaged assessments.   |  |  |  |
| Goal #2  | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students structures (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and st   |  | an increase of 10% of proficier    | icy and beyond on all NYS exams in a  | Il content areas through   | the implementation of Con  | nmon Core lesson   |
| <u>Goal #3</u>   | All WOIS teachers will use the school wide student engag  | ged assessment practices of cold   | call/ no opt-out, learning target  | trackers, exit tickets, strategic questi  | on and discussion protoc   | ols for 80% of instructional   | plans.   |
| D2: Goal(s): List the<br>number of the goal to<br>which the proposed<br>activity aligns. | place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).   | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside |                                    | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all Federal, State, and Local fund sources that will be used for the completion of each activity. | I. District Cost(s):<br>Identify the district<br>cost associated with<br>each fund source. | K1. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected start date<br>for each activity. | K2. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected end date<br>for each activity. |
| Goal #3  | Weekly learning walks will focus on the following components of instructional practice: cold call/opt-out, learning target trackers, exit tickets, active question and discussion protocols. The data gathered will be displayed and shared through weekly newsletters, grade | Improvement  | Tier 2-9                           |   | Captured 2.2   | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |
|  |   |  |                                    |   |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                                    |   |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                                    |   |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                                    | Total   | ćo   |  |  |

Total \$0

**School Name:** 261600010000

### **Tenet 3: Curriculum Development and Support**

|                                 |   | Tenet 3: Cu  | rriculum Development a                                | nd Support                                 |                           |                               |                        |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|---|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| A. Statement of Practice        | Addressed   |  |   |  | B1. HEDI Rating           | B2. HEDI Rating Source        |                        |  |  |  |
| SOP 3.2 - The school lea        | ader ensures and supports the quality implementation of a<br>Standards (CCLS) that is moni  | a systemic plan of rigorous and co<br>itored and adapted to meet the r |   | aligned to the Common Core Learning        | Effective                 | District-L                    | ed Review              |  |  |  |
| C. Major Recommendati           | ion(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the major re  | ecommendation(s) and source c  | itation; if a need that is not con                    | tained in a major recommendation bu        | t is aligned to the 6 ter | ets is identified, the distri | ct should address the  |  |  |  |
| identified need within tl       | he plan and provide a strong rationale explaining why th  | e need is being addressed.   |   |  |                           |                               |                        |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /                |   |  |   |  |                           |                               |                        |  |  |  |
| Rationale #1 -                  |   |  |   |  |                           |                               |                        |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /                |   |  |   |  |                           |                               |                        |  |  |  |
| Rationale #2 -                  |   |  |   |  |                           |                               |                        |  |  |  |
| Recommendation / Rationale #3 - |   |  |   |  |                           |                               |                        |  |  |  |
|                                 | direct alignment with the achievement of the major reco   | mmandation or identified need  | They should be written as sne                         | cific massurable attainable and relev      | ant to the recommend      | ation                         |                        |  |  |  |
|                                 | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students   |  |   |  |                           |                               | AIMS Web, and student  |  |  |  |
| Goal #1                         | work through the implementation of Common Core less   |  |   |  | o.aag . epo. e oa. ao,    | 455555                        | runo rrez, ana scadenc |  |  |  |
|                                 | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students in grades 3-12 will demonstrate an increase of 10% of proficiency and beyond on all NYS exams in all content areas through the implementation of Common Core lesson |  |   |  |                           |                               |                        |  |  |  |
| Goal #2                         | structures (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and student engaged assessments.   |  |   |  |                           |                               |                        |  |  |  |
| Goal #3                         | All WOIS teachers will use the school wide student engage   | ged assessment practices of cold                                       | call/ no opt-out, learning target                     | trackers, exit tickets, strategic question | n and discussion protoc   | ols for 80% of instructional  | plans.                 |  |  |  |
| D2: Goal(s): List the           | E. Activity(ies): Must detail the actions that will take  | F. Improvement/ Parent   | G. Allowable Activity: If the                         | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all            | I. District Cost(s):      | K1. Timeline: Identify        | K2. Timeline: Identify |  |  |  |
| number of the goal to           | place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).   |  | activity satisfies the                                | Federal, State, and Local fund             | Identify the district     | the projected start date      |                        |  |  |  |
| which the proposed              |   | Identify if the activity satisfies                                     | -   | sources that will be used for the          | cost associated with      | for each activity.            | for each activity.     |  |  |  |
| activity aligns.                |   | one of the mandated set-aside requirements.                            | indicate the applicable allowable activity supported. | completion of each activity.               | each fund source.         |                               |                        |  |  |  |
| Goal #1                         |   |  |   | General Fund                               | \$81,282                  | 2                             |                        |  |  |  |
| Goal #2                         | Leadership will provide structure for monthly guided  |  |   |  |                           |                               |                        |  |  |  |
| Goal #3                         | collaborative planning and revision of long-term and  | Improvement  | Tier 2-9  |  |                           |                               |                        |  |  |  |
|                                 | short-term plans.   |  |   |  |                           |                               |                        |  |  |  |
|                                 |   |  |   |  |                           |                               |                        |  |  |  |
|                                 |   |  |   |  |                           |                               |                        |  |  |  |
|                                 |   |  |   |  |                           |                               |                        |  |  |  |
|                                 |   |  |   |  |                           |                               |                        |  |  |  |
|                                 |   |  |   |  |                           |                               |                        |  |  |  |
|                                 |   |  |   |  |                           |                               |                        |  |  |  |

Total \$81,282

**School Name:** 261600010000

**Tenet 3: Curriculum Development and Support** 

| A. Statement of Practice  | Addressed  | Tenet 5: ea  | rriculum Development a             | oapport   | B1. HEDI Rating   | B2. HEDI Rating Source   |  |
|---|--|--|------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| SOP 3.3 - Teachers d  | levelop and ensure that unit and lesson plans used included standards and a  | d data-driven instruction (DDI) pr<br>address student achievement nee                        |                                    | ligned to the CCLS and NYS content  | Effective   |  | ed Review  |
| C. Major Recommendation   | on(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the major re  | commendation(s) and source cit   | ation; if a need that is not conta | ained in a major recommendation but   | is aligned to the 6 tenet   | s is identified, the district                                      | should address the   |
| identified need within th   | e plan and provide a strong rationale explaining why the   | need is being addressed.   |                                    |   |   |  |  |
| Recommendation /<br>Rationale #1 -  | Teachers will use targeted agendas based on student student  | dent and school data to develop o  | collaboratively unit and lessons   | plans to meet the demands of the CCLS   | and grade level DDI prot  | tocols.  |  |
| Recommendation /  | Teachers will use a full complement curricula tools such a   | s pacing calendars, curriculum m   | aps, unit and lessons plans acro   | ss all grades, content areas, and classes   | s that incorporate the pro  | ogression of sequenced an  | d scaffolded skills for all                                      |
| Rationale #2 -  | groups of students and use a variety of complex materials  |  |                                    | ,   |   |  |  |
| Recommendation /  | Teachers consistently monitor and adjust curricula to sup  |  |                                    | ensure higher-order thinking skills are o   | consistently present by p   | roviding necessary suppor  | t and extensions for all   |
| Rationale #3 -  | groups of students across all content areas and grades.  |  |                                    |   |   |  |  |
| D1. Goal(s): Must be in o   | direct alignment with the achievement of the major recon   | nmendation or identified need.   | They should be written as speci    | fic, measurable, attainable, and releva   | ant to the recommendat  | ion.   |  |
| <u>Goal #1</u>  | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students work through the implementation of Common Core lesso   | n structures (Workshop 2.0), dat   | a-driven instruction and student   | engaged assessments.  |   |  | •  |
| <u>Goal #2</u>  | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and student enga   |  | an increase of 10% of proficiend   | cy and beyond on all NYS exams in all c   | content areas through the   | e implementation of Comn   | non Core lesson structures                                       |
| Goal #3   | All WOIS teachers will use the school wide student engaged assessment practices of cold call/opt-out, learning target trackers, exit tickets, active question and discussion protocols for 80% of instructional plans. |  |                                    |   |   |  |  |
| D2: Goal(s): List the number of the goal to which the proposed activity aligns. | E. Activity(ies): Must detail the actions that will take place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).   | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside | · ·                                | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all Federal, State, and Local fund sources that will be used for the completion of each activity. | I. District Cost(s): Identify the district cost associated with each fund source. | K1. Timeline: Identify the projected start date for each activity. | K2. Timeline: Identify the projected end date for each activity. |
| Goal #1   | Establish a focused work and PD plan that includes the following components: lesson design (includes questioning and discussion strategies), data driven instruction, and student engaged assessment.                  | Improvement  | Tier 2-9                           | General Fund  | \$4,471   | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |
| Goal #2   | Provide training for all teachers to incorporate the district/State curriculum modules with learning expeditions.  | Improvement  | Tier 2-9                           |   | Cost captured 3.2   | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |
| Goal #3   | Provide training for all teachers to deepen the implementation of Student Engaged Assessment practices: cold call/opt-out, learning target trackers, exit tickets, active question and discussion protocols.           | Improvement  | Tier 2-13                          | General Fund  | \$146,033   | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |
| Goal #1   | Continue training with data driven instruction in established structures such as grade level meetings to regularly review and use formative assessment data which includes the student-engaged assessment data         | Improvement  | Tier 2-20                          | General Fund  | \$109,525   | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |

|         | E. Activity(ies): Must detail the actions that will take place in order to achieve the identified goal(s). | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside | activity satisfies the Improvement set-aside, | Federal, State, and Local fund sources that will be used for the | Identify the district | the projected start date | K2. Timeline: Identify the projected end date for each activity. |
|---------|--|--|---|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Goal #3 | identified in Goal 3. Grade level meetings will included clusters and vertical alignment.                  |  |   |  |                       |                          |  |

Total \$260,029

**School Name:** 261600010000

# **Tenet 3: Curriculum Development and Support**

|   |  | Tenet 3: Cu   | rriculum Development a                           | nd Support  |  |                              |   |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|------------------------------|---|
| A. Statement of Practice  | e Addressed  |   |  |   | B1. HEDI Rating  | B2. HEDI Rating Source       |   |
| SOP 3.4 - The school I  | leader and teachers have developed a comprehensive plan<br>targeting the arts, techr   | for teachers to partner within a nology, and other enrichment op  | ,  | s to create interdisciplinary curricula   | Highly Effective   | District-L                   | ed Review                                 |
| C. Major Recommendat  | ion(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the major re   | ecommendation(s) and source c   | itation; if a need that is not con               | tained in a major recommendation bu   | ut is aligned to the 6 ten                                   | ets is identified, the distr | ict should address the                    |
| identified need within t  | he plan and provide a strong rationale explaining why the  | e need is being addressed.  |  |   |  |                              |   |
| Recommendation /  | Teachers will consistently collaborate within and across g   | grades and subjects to deliver int  | terdisciplinary curricula that inco              | orporates the arts, technology, and oth   | er enrichment opportun                                       | ities. There is evidence th  | at teachers regularly                     |
| Rationale #1 -  | develop innovative strategies for connecting these curric  | ula with subject specific curricul  | a.   |   |  |                              |   |
| Recommendation /  | Teachers will consistently collaborate within and across g   | grades and subjects to formally r   | eflect on the impact of the inter                | disciplinary curricula, take immediate  | action to make revisions                                     | when needed, and ensure      | e that there is common                    |
| Rationale #2 -  | understanding about what is taught and why it is taught.   |   |  |   |  |                              |   |
| Recommendation /  |  |   |  |   |  |                              |   |
| Rationale #3 -  |  |   |  |   |  |                              |   |
| D1. Goal(s): Must be in   | direct alignment with the achievement of the major reco  | mmendation or identified need   | . They should be written as spe                  | cific, measurable, attainable, and rele   | vant to the recommend  | ation.                       |   |
| Cool #1   | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students  | in grades K-8 will achieve profic   | iency levels in math and ELA as                  | designated by formative assessment in   | cluding report cards, int                                    | erim assessments, NWEA,      | AIMS Web, and student                     |
| <u>Goal #1</u>  | work through the implementation of Common Core lesson  | on structures (Workshop 2.0), da  | ta-driven instruction and studer                 | nt engaged assessments.   |  |                              |   |
| 6142  | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students  | in grades 3-12 will demonstrate   | an increase of 10% of proficier                  | ncy and beyond on all NYS exams in all  | content areas through t                                      | he implementation of Cor     | nmon Core lesson                          |
| Goal #2   | structures (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and st  | udent engaged assessments.  |  |   |  |                              |   |
| Goal #3   | All WOIS teachers will use the school wide student engage  | ged assessment practices of cold  | call/opt-out, learning target tra                | ckers, exit tickets, active question and  | discussion protocols for                                     | 80% of instructional plans   |   |
| D2: Goal(s): List the   | E. Activity(ies): Must detail the actions that will take   | F. Improvement/ Parent  | G. Allowable Activity: If the                    | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all   | I. District Cost(s):   | K1. Timeline: Identify       | K2. Timeline: Identify                    |
| number of the goal to<br>which the proposed<br>activity aligns. | place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).  | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside<br>requirements. | activity satisfies the<br>Improvement set-aside, | Federal, State, and Local fund sources that will be used for the completion of each activity. | Identify the district cost associated with each fund source. | •                            | the projected end date for each activity. |
|   | All teachers in grades K-12 will design and implement  |   |  | General Fund  | \$394,290  | September 1, 2014            | June 1, 2015                              |
| Goal #1   | lessons that include the following components: engage and grapple, discuss, focus, apply and synthesize.  Formative assessment will be infused throughout the lessons. | Improvement   | Tier 2-9   |   |  |                              |   |
|   | All expeditions, case studies and projects will be aligned   |   |  |   | Captured above   | September 1, 2014            | June 1, 2015                              |
|   | and integrated with CCLS standards and instructional   |   |  |   |  |                              |   |
| Goal #2   | shifts. A variety of curricula will be utilized to ensure  | Improvement   | Tier 2-9   |   |  |                              |   |
| Godi #2   | complexity and differentiation to meet the needs of all student groups.  | improvement   | TICL 2 3   |   |  |                              |   |
| Goal #3   | All teachers and school leaders will use the Deeper<br>Learning Tool and Quality Work Protocols to design, and<br>assess progress with high order thinking in daily    | Improvement   | Tier 2-13  |   | Captured above   | September 1, 2014            | June 1, 2015                              |
|   | practice.  |   |  |   |  |                              |   |

| D2: Goal(s): List the number of the gowhich the proposactivity aligns. | pal to place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).   | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside | •        | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all<br>Federal, State, and Local fund<br>sources that will be used for the<br>completion of each activity. | I. District Cost(s):<br>Identify the district<br>cost associated with<br>each fund source. | K1. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected start date<br>for each activity. | K2. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected end date<br>for each activity. |
|--|--|--|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Goal #3  | Weekly learning walks will focus on the following components of instructional practice: cold call/opt-out, learning target trackers, exit tickets, active question and discussion protocols. The data gathered will be displayed and shared through weekly newsletters, grade level/content area meetings, and posters/charts. | Improvement  | Tier 2-9 |  | Captured 2.2   | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |
| Goal #2  | High school expeditions, case-studies and projects will be realigned to better meet the needs of students, content areas standards and Regents exams.  | Improvement  | Tier 2-9 |  | Captured above   | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |

Total \$394,290

School Name: 261600010000

Tenet 3: Curriculum Development and Support

| C. Major Recommendation(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the identified need within the plan and provide a strong rationale explaining Recommendation / Rationale #1 -   | on, tracking of, a major recommen why the need is itive assessment to g curriculum tha iate common as d explicit feeback ent reflection up jor recommenda students in graduent engaged assent engaged assent engaged assent take F. Impr   | and ownership of learning. endation(s) and source cita is being addressed. It system for using mulitple hat demonstrates improvin assessment data (including ck to students that is based upon and adjustment of ind dation or identified need. I des K-8 will achieve proficie (Workshop 2.0), data-driv des 3-12 will demonstrate assessments. essment practices of cold of | measures of data: structure and ag individual and subgroup achiev pre, post, formative, summative of on data, facilitates student owr dividual learning strategies to add they should be written as specifiency levels in math and ELA as deen instruction and student engages.                         | um planning that involves student  ined in a major recommendation by protocols for analysis, plan to track vement.  ) across all grades, subject areas en- nership of learning. This system includerss explict teacher feedback.  ici, measurable, attainable, and rele esignated by formative assessment ged assessments. | exprogress over time on explicitions of the street of the | identified, the district sho<br>itly identified targets, create<br>curriculum and assessmen<br>complete assignments, stu | e/utilize pre and post<br>t tools.                                     |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| C. Major Recommendation(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the identified need within the plan and provide a strong rationale explaining Recommendation / Rationale #1 - unit assessments, and have a process for adapt Recommendation / Rationale #2 - Teachers will create and use a variety of appropriational #3 - Teachers will create and use a variety of appropriational #3 - Teachers have a system for providing regular and student tracking of individual progress, and students of incomment of the manual tracking of individual progress, and students of incomment of individual progress, and students of incomment of individual progress, and students of incomment of the goal #1  Goal #1  Goal #2  Create a data structure (wall or notebook) that include the following: 1. School wide goals from SCEP and work plans; link APPR goals and obserdata gathered through informal and formal walkthroughs, grade level specific formative as data, benchmarks and baseline data on units of incorporate the templates and protocols share Leaders of Their Own Learning to build in clear structures for developing instructional plans for students based on data.  All classrooms will use the structures and protocols share Leaders of Their Own Learning to deep implementation of Student Engaged Assessment Practices.  Teachers in grades K-8 will collaborate vertically              | on, tracking of, a major recommen why the need is itive assessment to g curriculum tha iate common as d explicit feeback ent reflection up jor recommenda students in graduent engaged assent engaged assent engaged assent take F. Impr   | and ownership of learning. endation(s) and source cita is being addressed. It system for using mulitple hat demonstrates improvin assessment data (including ck to students that is based upon and adjustment of ind dation or identified need. I des K-8 will achieve proficie (Workshop 2.0), data-driv des 3-12 will demonstrate assessments. essment practices of cold of | measures of data: structure and ag individual and subgroup achiev pre, post, formative, summative of on data, facilitates student owr dividual learning strategies to add they should be written as specifiency levels in math and ELA as deen instruction and student engages.                         | ined in a major recommendation by protocols for analysis, plan to track vement.  ) across all grades, subject areas enspership of learning. This system includes explict teacher feedback.  ic, measurable, attainable, and releasignated by formative assessment ged assessments.   | ut is aligned to the 6 tenets is  congress over time on explicit  suring alignment between the  udes student use of rubrics to  evant to the recommendation   | identified, the district sho<br>itly identified targets, create<br>curriculum and assessmen<br>complete assignments, stu | uld address the e/utilize pre and post t tools.                        |  |  |  |
| Identified need within the plan and provide a strong rationale explaining   | why the need is ities assessment to general temperature of the properties of the pro | is being addressed. It system for using mulitple hat demonstrates improvin assessment data (including ck to students that is based upon and adjustment of indidation or identified need. I des K-8 will achieve proficies (Workshop 2.0), data-driving des 3-12 will demonstrate assessments.   | measures of data: structure and ag individual and subgroup achiev pre, post, formative, summative of on data, facilitates student owr dividual learning strategies to add they are they should be written as specifiency levels in math and ELA as does instruction and student engages.                | protocols for analysis, plan to track<br>vement.  ) across all grades, subject areas en-<br>nership of learning. This system includess explict teacher feedback.  iic, measurable, attainable, and rele<br>esignated by formative assessment<br>ged assessments.   | exprogress over time on explicitions of the street of the | curriculum and assessmen complete assignments, stu   | e/utilize pre and post<br>t tools.                                     |  |  |  |
| Recommendation / Rationale #1 -   | ive assessment in general common assistate common as dexplicit feeback ent reflection up for recommenda students in gradesson structures (students in gradestudents in gradestudents in gradestudent in gradestudent engaged assistate ent engaged sseet take F. Impression of the students of | t system for using mulitple hat demonstrates improving assessment data (including ck to students that is based upon and adjustment of individual des K-8 will achieve proficies (Workshop 2.0), data-drividual des 3-12 will demonstrate assessments.   | ig individual and subgroup achiever, post, formative, summative of on data, facilitates student own dividual learning strategies to add They should be written as specifiency levels in math and ELA as dien instruction and student engagen.   | vement.  ) across all grades, subject areas ensinership of learning. This system includers explict teacher feedback.  Fic, measurable, attainable, and releasignated by formative assessment ged assessments.  | suring alignment between the udes student use of rubrics to evant to the recommendation   | curriculum and assessmen complete assignments, stu   | t tools.   |  |  |  |
| Rationale #1 -   Unit assessments, and have a process for adapt   | g curriculum that iate common as:  I explicit feeback ent reflection up jor recommenda students in gradusson structures (students in graduent engaged assent engaged assent engaged ssettake  F. Impr  | hat demonstrates improving<br>assessment data (including<br>ck to students that is based<br>upon and adjustment of ind<br>dation or identified need.<br>des K-8 will achieve proficies (Workshop 2.0), data-driving<br>des 3-12 will demonstrate assessments.   | ig individual and subgroup achiever, post, formative, summative of on data, facilitates student own dividual learning strategies to add They should be written as specifiency levels in math and ELA as dien instruction and student engagen.   | vement.  ) across all grades, subject areas ensinership of learning. This system includers explict teacher feedback.  Fic, measurable, attainable, and releasignated by formative assessment ged assessments.  | suring alignment between the udes student use of rubrics to evant to the recommendation   | curriculum and assessmen complete assignments, stu   | t tools.   |  |  |  |
| Rationale #2 -  Recommendation / Rationale #3 -  D1. Goal(s): Must be in direct alignment with the achievement of the m student space of the world of Inquiry School will have 80% of all through the implementation of Common Core of Goal #2  Goal #3  All WOIS teachers will use the school wide stude place in order to achieve the identified goal(s)  Create a data structure (wall or notebook) that include the following: 1. School wide goals from SCEP and work plans; link APPR goals and obserdata gathered through informal and formal walkthroughs, grade level specific formative as data, benchmarks and baseline data on units of incorporate the templates and protocols shared Leaders of Their Own Learning to build in clear structures for developing instructional plans for students based on data.  All classrooms will use the structures and protocols shared Leaders of Their Own Learning to deep implementation of Student Engaged Assessment Practices.  Teachers in grades K-8 will collaborate verticall.   | d explicit feeback ent reflection up jor recommende students in grade students in grade students in grade ent engaged assen t engaged assen take F. Impr   | ck to students that is based upon and adjustment of ind dation or identified need. I des K-8 will achieve proficie (Workshop 2.0), data-driv des 3-12 will demonstrate assessments.   | d on data, facilitates student owr<br>dividual learning strategies to add<br>They should be written as specif<br>ency levels in math and ELA as dr<br>en instruction and student enga   | hership of learning. This system includerss explict teacher feedback.<br>fic, measurable, attainable, and release<br>esignated by formative assessment<br>ged assessments.   | udes student use of rubrics to  | complete assignments, stu  |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation / Remover for providing regular a student tracking of individual progress, and stu on the most of the most of the goal #1    D1. Goal(s): Must be in direct alignment with the achievement of the most of all through the implementation of Common Core in the World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all through the implementation of Common Core in the World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and students of the goal to which the proposed activity aligns.  E. Activity(ies): Must detail the actions that with place in order to achieve the identified goal(s) which the proposed activity aligns.  Create a data structure (wall or notebook) that include the following: 1. School wide goals from SCEP and work plans; link APPR goals and observable and agathered through informal and formal walkthroughs, grade level specific formative as data, benchmarks and baseline data on units of incorporate the templates and protocols shared Leaders of Their Own Learning to build in clear structures for developing instructional plans for students based on data.  All classrooms will use the structures and protocon named in Leader of Their Own Learning to deep implementation of Student Engaged Assessment Practices.  Teachers in grades K-8 will collaborate vertically   | d explicit feeback ent reflection up jor recommende students in grade students in grade students in grade ent engaged assen t engaged assen take F. Impr   | ck to students that is based upon and adjustment of ind dation or identified need. I des K-8 will achieve proficie (Workshop 2.0), data-driv des 3-12 will demonstrate assessments.   | d on data, facilitates student owr<br>dividual learning strategies to add<br>They should be written as specif<br>ency levels in math and ELA as dr<br>en instruction and student enga   | hership of learning. This system includerss explict teacher feedback.<br>fic, measurable, attainable, and release<br>esignated by formative assessment<br>ged assessments.   | udes student use of rubrics to  | complete assignments, stu  |  |  |  |  |
| Rationale #3 - student tracking of individual progress, and stu D1. Goal(s): Must be in direct alignment with the achievement of the m Goal #1 The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of al through the implementation of Common Core In Goal #2 The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of al (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and studies and Individual progress, and studies and Individual progress, and studies in Goal #2 The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of al (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and studies and Individual progress, and studies and Individual progress, and studies and studies and Individual progress, and studies and studies and Individual progress, and studies and studies and studies and studies and Individual progress, and studies and studies and studies and studies and studies and Individual progress, and studies and protocols shared the studies and shared the studies | ent reflection up<br>jor recommenda<br>students in grade<br>sson structures (<br>students in grade<br>ent engaged assent<br>ent engaged assent   | upon and adjustment of ind<br>dation or identified need. I<br>des K-8 will achieve proficie<br>s (Workshop 2.0), data-driv<br>des 3-12 will demonstrate<br>ssessments.  | dividual learning strategies to add<br>They should be written as specif<br>ency levels in math and ELA as do<br>en instruction and student enga   | dress explict teacher feedback.  ric, measurable, attainable, and rele esignated by formative assessment ged assessments.  | evant to the recommendation   | 1.   | dent seir-assessment,  |  |  |  |
| D1. Goal(s): Must be in direct alignment with the achievement of the m  Goal #1  The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of al through the implementation of Common Core   The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of al (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and str.  Goal #3  All WOIS teachers will use the school wide stud place in order to achieve the identified goal(s)  E. Activity(ies): Must detail the actions that wi place in order to achieve the identified goal(s)  Create a data structure (wall or notebook) that include the following: 1. School wide goals fron SCEP and work plans; link APPR goals and obserdata gathered through informal and formal walkthroughs, grade level specific formative as data, benchmarks and baseline data on units of incorporate the templates and protocols share Leaders of Their Own Learning to build in clear structures for developing instructional plans fo students based on data.  Goal #3  All classrooms will use the structures and protocols in Leader of Their Own Learning to deep implementation of Student Engaged Assessment Practices.  Teachers in grades K-8 will collaborate verticall  | jor recommenda<br>students in grade<br>sson structures (<br>students in grade<br>ent engaged assent<br>ent engaged assent<br>take F. Impr  | dation or identified need. I<br>des K-8 will achieve proficie<br>(Workshop 2.0), data-driv<br>des 3-12 will demonstrate<br>sssessments.<br>essment practices of cold c  | They should be written as specifency levels in math and ELA as does in math and ELA as does instruction and student engage  | ic, measurable, attainable, and rele<br>esignated by formative assessment<br>ged assessments.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal #1  Goal #2  The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of al (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and stu delay and the proposed activity aligns.  E. Activity(ies): Must detail the actions that wi place in order to achieve the identified goal(s) activity aligns.  Create a data structure (wall or notebook) that include the following: 1. School wide goals from SCEP and work plans; link APPR goals and obserdata gathered through informal and formal walkthroughs, grade level specific formative as data, benchmarks and baseline data on units of incorporate the templates and protocols shared Leaders of Their Own Learning to build in clear structures for developing instructional plans for students based on data.  All classrooms will use the structures and protocols incorporate the templates and protocols shared Leaders of Their Own Learning to deep implementation of Student Engaged Assessment Practices.  Teachers in grades K-8 will collaborate vertically   | sson structures ( students in grade ent engaged assent engaged assent take F. Impi   | s (Workshop 2.0), data-driv<br>des 3-12 will demonstrate<br>ssessments.<br>essment practices of cold o  | en instruction and student engag  | ged assessments.   | including report cards, interim   | accessments NIM/EA AIMA  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal #3  All WOIS teachers will use the school wide stud place in order to achieve the identified goal(s)  Create a data structure (wall or notebook) that include the following: 1. School wide goals from SCEP and work plans; link APPR goals and obset data gathered through informal and formal walkthroughs, grade level specific formative as data, benchmarks and baseline data on units of incorporate the templates and protocols shared Leaders of Their Own Learning to build in clear structures for developing instructional plans for students based on data.  All classrooms will use the structures and protocols implementation of Student Engaged Assessment Practices.  Teachers in grades K-8 will collaborate vertically  | ent engaged asset<br>nt engaged asset<br>take F. Impr  | essment practices of cold c   | an increase of 10% of proficienc  | cy and beyond on all NYS exams in a  |   | assessments, NVVEA, AllVI.   | S Web, and student work  |  |  |  |
| D2: Goal(s): List the number of the goal to which the proposed activity aligns.  Goal #1 Goal #2  Create a data structure (wall or notebook) that include the following: 1. School wide goals from SCEP and work plans; link APPR goals and obset data gathered through informal and formal walkthroughs, grade level specific formative as data, benchmarks and baseline data on units of incorporate the templates and protocols shared Leaders of Their Own Learning to build in clear structures for developing instructional plans for students based on data.  All classrooms will use the structures and protocols shared the structures and protocols shared the structures for developing instructional plans for students based on data.  All classrooms will use the structures and protocols implementation of Student Engaged Assessment Practices.  Teachers in grades K-8 will collaborate verticall   | take F. Impr   |   | (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and student engaged assessments.  All WOIS teachers will use the school wide student engaged assessment practices of cold call/ no opt-out, learning target trackers, exit tickets, strategic question and discussion protocols for 80% of instructional plans. |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| number of the goal to which the proposed activity aligns.  Goal #1  Goal #2  Create a data structure (wall or notebook) that include the following: 1. School wide goals from SCEP and work plans; link APPR goals and obserd data gathered through informal and formal walkthroughs, grade level specific formative as data, benchmarks and baseline data on units of incorporate the templates and protocols shares Leaders of Their Own Learning to build in clear structures for developing instructional plans for students based on data.  All classrooms will use the structures and protocols shares in plans for Students based on Students based on Students based on data.  All classrooms will use the structures and protocols in Leader of Their Own Learning to deep implementation of Student Engaged Assessment Practices.  Teachers in grades K-8 will collaborate verticall  |  | provement / Parent  | call/ no opt-out, learning target t   | rackers, exit tickets, strategic questi  | ion and discussion protocols f  | or 80% of instructional plan   | iS.  |  |  |  |
| Goal #2  Create a data structure (wall or notebook) that include the following: 1. School wide goals from SCEP and work plans; link APPR goals and obset data gathered through informal and formal walkthroughs, grade level specific formative as: data, benchmarks and baseline data on units of incorporate the templates and protocols shared Leaders of Their Own Learning to build in clear structures for developing instructional plans for students based on data.  All classrooms will use the structures and protocommend in Leader of Their Own Learning to deep implementation of Student Engaged Assessment Practices.  Teachers in grades K-8 will collaborate verticalling to the structure of the structures of the structures and protocommend in Leader of Their Own Learning to deep implementation of Student Engaged Assessment Practices.  | Identif<br>one of  | gement Set-Aside:   | G. Allowable Activity: If the activity satisfies the Improvement set-aside, indicate the applicable allowable activity supported.   |  | I. District Cost(s): Identify<br>the district cost associated<br>with each fund source.   | K1. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected start date<br>for each activity.   | K2. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected end date<br>for each activity. |  |  |  |
| Create a data structure (wall or notebook) that include the following: 1. School wide goals fron SCEP and work plans; link APPR goals and obser data gathered through informal and formal walkthroughs, grade level specific formative as data, benchmarks and baseline data on units of incorporate the templates and protocols sharee Leaders of Their Own Learning to build in clear structures for developing instructional plans fo students based on data.  All classrooms will use the structures and protocome in Leader of Their Own Learning to deep implementation of Student Engaged Assessmer Practices.  Teachers in grades K-8 will collaborate verticall  |  |   |   | General Fund   | \$4,379   | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |  |  |  |
| Goal #3 named in Leader of Their Own Learning to deep implementation of Student Engaged Assessment Practices.  Teachers in grades K-8 will collaborate verticall  | the<br>ational<br>essment<br>study,<br>in  | Improvement   | Tier 2-20   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| =   | n the  | Improvement   | Tier 2-13   |  | Captured 3.3 and 3.4  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |  |  |  |
| Goal #1 horizontally to create flexible groups based on data and needs.   |  | Improvement   | Tier 2-20   |  | Captured 3.3 and 3.4  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |  |  |  |
| All teachers will use daily classroom data and a resources to provide differentiated lessons for This process includes the infusion of student er assessment strategies and protocols including a setting and descriptive feedback.   |  |   |   |  | Captured 3.3 and 3.4  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |  |  |  |

Total \$4,379

**School Name:** 261600010000

### **Tenet 4: Teacher Practices and Decisions**

|  |   | Tenet 4:  | Teacher Practices and De            | ecisions   |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| A. Statement of Practice   | Addressed   |   |                                     |  | B1. HEDI Rating  | B2. HEDI Rating Source   |  |
| SOP 4.2 - School   | and teacher leaders ensure that instructional practices are   | organized around annual, unit,  | and daily lesson plans that addre   | ss all student goals and needs.  | Effective  | District-L   | ed Review  |
| C. Major Recommendati  | on(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the major red  | commendation(s) and source cit  | ation; if a need that is not conta  | ined in a major recommendation but i   | s aligned to the 6 tenets  | is identified, the district s  | should address the   |
| identified need within th  | ne plan and provide a strong rationale explaining why the   | need is being addressed.  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /<br>Rationale #1 -   | School and teacher leaders ensure that teachers use daily   | , a transparent, targeted plan tha  | at is informed by data (summativ    | e, interim, attendance, IEP's, NYSESLAT  | Γ) and grade-level and sc  | chool wide-goals for all gro   | ups of students.   |
| Recommendation /   | Teacher leaders and coaches ensure that teachers use in   | structional practices and strategi  | es that are adaptive and aligned    | to plans for groups of students with a v   | rariety of needs and lear  | ning styles (including stude   | ents with disabilities, ELL  |
| Rationale #2 -   | and other sub-groups) and provide timely and appropriat   | e instructional interventions and   | extensions for all students.        |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /<br>Rationale #3 -   | Teacher leaders and coaches ensure that teachers use da   |   |                                     |  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |  |  |
| D1. Goal(s): Must be in  | direct alignment with the achievement of the major recon  |   | -                                   |  |  |  |  |
| <u>Goal #1</u>   | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students work through the implementation of Common Core lesson   |   |                                     |  | uding report cards, inte   | rim assessments, NWEA, A   | IMS Web, and student   |
| Goal #2  | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students structures (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and st   | •   | an increase of 10% of proficienc    | y and beyond on all NYS exams in all c   | ontent areas through th  | e implementation of Comr   | non Core lesson  |
| Goal #3  | All WOIS teachers will use the school wide student engage   | ed assessment practices of cold   | call/ no opt-out, learning target t | rackers, exit tickets, strategic question  | and discussion protocol  | s for 80% of instructional p   | lans.  |
| D2: Goal(s): List the<br>number of the goal to<br>which the proposed<br>activity aligns. | E. Activity(ies): Must detail the actions that will take place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).  | F. Improvement/ Parent<br>Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside<br>requirements. |                                     | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all<br>Federal, State, and Local fund<br>sources that will be used for the<br>completion of each activity. | I. District Cost(s):<br>Identify the district<br>cost associated with<br>each fund source. | K1. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected start date<br>for each activity. | K2. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected end date<br>for each activity. |
| Goal #1  | All teachers in grades K-12 will design and implement lessons that include the following components: engage and grapple, discuss, focus, apply and synthesize. Formative assessment will be infused throughout the lessons.   | Improvement   | Tier 2-9                            |  | Captured previously  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |
| Goal #2  | Provide professional development on instructional strategies that are systemic and explicit. A product could be developed by following one or more of the learning designs: lesson structures study, student-centered coaching circle/action research, use of exemplar videos and use of critique and quality work protocols. | Improvement   | Tier 2-9                            |  | Captured previously  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |
| Goal #3  | All classrooms will use the strategies and protocols named in Leaders for Their Own Learning to deepen implementation of Assessment for Learning strategies and build student ownership to short and long term assessment practices as noted in Goal 3.   | Improvement   | Tier 2-13                           |  | Captured previously  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |

Total \$0

School Name: 261600010000

### **Tenet 4: Teacher Practices and Decisions**

| A. Statement of Practice   | e Addressed   |   |                                    |  | B1. HEDI Rating  | B2. HEDI Rating Source   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| SOP 4.3 - Teachers p   | rovide coherent, and appropriately aligned Common Core  | Learning Standards (CCLS)-based   | d instruction that leads to multip | le points of access for all students.  | Developing   |  | ed Review  |  |  |  |  |  |
| •  | ion(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the major re  | •   | itation; if a need that is not con | tained in a major recommendation be  | ut is aligned to the 6 ter   | ets is identified, the distr   | ict should address the   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | he plan and provide a strong rationale explaining why the   | e need is being addressed.  |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /<br>Rationale #1 -   | All teachers will use instructional practices appropriately   | aligned to CCLS (or content base  | ed standards) lesson plans and r   | eflective of the CCLS Shifts to instruct   | all groups of students.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /<br>Rationale #2 -   | All teachers will stimulate thinking by asking questions the  | l teachers will stimulate thinking by asking questions through the use of adaptive CCLS (or content based standards) instructional materials that contain high levels of text and content complexity. |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /   |   |   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rationale #3 -   |   |   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D1. Goal(s): Must be in  | direct alignment with the achievement of the major reco   |   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>Goal #1</u>   | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students work through the implementation of Common Core less   |   | •                                  | •  | ncluding report cards, in  | iterim assessments, NWEA   | ., AIMS Web, and student   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal #2  | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students structures (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and st   | •   | e an increase of 10% of proficier  | ncy and beyond on all NYS exams in a   | ll content areas through   | the implementation of Co   | mmon Core lesson   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>Goal #3</u>   | All WOIS teachers will use the school wide student engage   | ged assessment practices of cold  | call/ no opt-out, learning target  | trackers, exit tickets, strategic questi   | on and discussion proto  | cols for 80% of instruction  | al plans.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D2: Goal(s): List the<br>number of the goal to<br>which the proposed<br>activity aligns. | E. Activity(ies): Must detail the actions that will take place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).  | F. Improvement/ Parent<br>Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside<br>requirements.   | •                                  | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all<br>Federal, State, and Local fund<br>sources that will be used for the<br>completion of each activity. | I. District Cost(s):<br>Identify the district<br>cost associated with<br>each fund source. | K1. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected start date<br>for each activity. | K2. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected end date<br>for each activity. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal #1  | All teachers in grades K-12 will design and implement lessons that include the following components: engage and grapple, discuss, focus, apply and synthesize. Formative assessment will be infused throughout the lessons. This is will serve as the basis of providing differentiated opportunities and asking stimulating questions. | Improvement   | Tier 2-9                           |  | Captured Previously  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal #2  | Provide professional development on instructional techniques with specific focus on differentiation of instruction and implementation of strategies to promote higher-order questioning. All formal and informal observations will include a focus and special emphasis on higher-order questioning and differentiation.                | Improvement   | Tier 2-9                           |  |  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal #3  | All classrooms will use the strategies and protocols named in Leaders for Their Own Learning to deepen implementation of Assessment for Learning strategies and build student ownership to short and long term assessment practices as noted in Goal 3.   | Improvement   | Tier 2-13                          |  |  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |  |  |  |  |  |

**School Name:** 261600010000

### **Tenet 4: Teacher Practices and Decisions**

|                           |  | Tenet 4:  | Teacher Practices and D            | ecisions                                  | 1                          |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| A. Statement of Practice  | Addressed  |   |                                    |   | B1. HEDI Rating            | B2. HEDI Rating Source        |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOP 4.4 - Teachers and    | d students work together to implement a program/plan to<br>strength  | create a learning environment t<br>ns and needs of all students.  | hat is responsive to students" va  | ried experiences and tailored to the      | Highly Effective           | District-Le                   | ed Review              |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. Major Recommendati     | ion(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the major re   | ecommendation(s) and source c   | itation; if a need that is not con | tained in a major recommendation bu       | ut is aligned to the 6 ten | ets is identified, the distri | ct should address the  |  |  |  |  |  |
| identified need within th | he plan and provide a strong rationale explaining why the  | e need is being addressed.  |                                    |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /          | Teachers will work together with students across the sch   | aal ta acknowladga divarsity, pr  | avide access to learning and sec   | al apportunities, and ansourage stude     | ents to have a voice in th | oir aducational experience    |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rationale #1 -            | reachers will work together with students across the sch   | ool to acknowledge diversity, pro   | ovide access to learning and soc   | all opportunities, and encourage stude    | ents to nave a voice in th | en educational experience     | :.                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /          | Teachers will foster student huv in and adapt to the char  | eachers will foster student buy-in and adapt to the changing needs of the student population and collaborate with other teachers to create thoughtful learning environments that incorporate student values and perspectives. |                                    |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rationale #2 -            | eachers will loster student buy-in and adapt to the changing needs of the student population and consociate with other teachers to create thoughtful rearning environments that incorporate student values and perspectives. |   |                                    |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /          |  |   |                                    |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rationale #3 -            |  |   |                                    |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| D1. Goal(s): Must be in   | direct alignment with the achievement of the major reco  |   |                                    |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal #1                   | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students  |   |                                    |   | icluding report cards, int | erim assessments, NWEA,       | AIMS Web, and student  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | work through the implementation of Common Core lesson  |   |                                    |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal #2                   | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students  |   | an increase of 10% of proficien    | cy and beyond on all NYS exams in all     | content areas through t    | he implementation of Con      | nmon Core lesson       |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | structures (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and st  | udent engaged assessments.  |                                    |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal #3                   | All WOIS teachers will use the school wide student engage  | ged assessment practices of cold  | call/ no opt-out, learning target  | trackers, exit tickets, strategic questio | n and discussion protoco   | ols for 80% of instructional  | plans.                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| D2: Goal(s): List the     | E. Activity(ies): Must detail the actions that will take   | F. Improvement/ Parent  | G. Allowable Activity: If the      | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all           | I. District Cost(s):       | K1. Timeline: Identify        | K2. Timeline: Identify |  |  |  |  |  |
| number of the goal to     | •  | Engagement Set-Aside:   | activity satisfies the             | Federal, State, and Local fund            | Identify the district      | the projected start date      |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| which the proposed        |  | Identify if the activity satisfies  |                                    | sources that will be used for the         | cost associated with       | for each activity.            | for each activity.     |  |  |  |  |  |
| activity aligns.          |  | one of the mandated set-aside   |                                    | completion of each activity.              | each fund source.          |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           |  | requirements.   | allowable activity supported.      |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           |  |   |                                    |   | 404.500                    | 0 1 1 1 0011                  |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | Based on the EL Design Principles, the school will   |   |                                    | General Fund                              | \$31,680                   | September 1, 2014             | June 1, 2015           |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | establish four to five character traits or value. Rubrics  |   |                                    |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | which describe the traits at each grade level will be  |   |                                    |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal #1                   | created during the summer institute and furthered during the fall to include student voice. The established  | Improvement   | Tier 2-10                          |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| G0ai #1                   | character traits and rubrics will be infused into daily  | improvement   | 11et 2-10                          |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | practice in all classrooms and crews.  |   |                                    |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | practice in an classrooms and crews.   |   |                                    |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           |  |   |                                    |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | Based on the EL Design Principles, the school will   |   |                                    | General Fund                              | \$219,050                  | September 1, 2014             | June 1, 2015           |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | establish four to five character traits or value. Rubrics  |   |                                    | General Fund                              | 7219,030                   | 3cptcmbcr 1, 2014             | Julie 1, 2013          |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | which describe the traits at each grade level will be  |   |                                    |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | created during the summer institute and furthered  |   |                                    |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal #2                   | during the fall to include student voice. The established  | Improvement   | Tier 2-10                          |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cour III Z                | character traits and rubrics will be infused into daily  | improvement   | 110. 2.10                          |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | practice in all classrooms and crews.  |   |                                    |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           |  |   |                                    |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           |  |   |                                    |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           |  |   |                                    |   |                            |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |

| D2: Goal(s): List the number of the goal to which the proposed activity aligns. |  | F. Improvement/ Parent<br>Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside<br>requirements. |           | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all Federal, State, and Local fund sources that will be used for the completion of each activity. | I. District Cost(s):<br>Identify the district<br>cost associated with<br>each fund source. | the projected start date | K2. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected end date<br>for each activity. |
|---|--|---|-----------|---|--|--------------------------|--|
| Goal #1   | Clear descriptors and expectations for "Habits of<br>Scholarship" will be established across all grade levels.<br>"Habits of Scholarship" will integrate research based on<br>Mindset by Carol Dweck and Leaders of Their Own<br>Learning by Ron Berger to deepen student ownership. | Improvement   | Tier 2-10 |   | Captured above   | September 1, 2014        | June 1, 2015   |
| Goal #2   | Secondary students from the student government will be included on each of the school's Design Task Teams including but not limited to PTC, SBPT, Secondary Culture to deepen student voice in the educational process.  | Improvement   | Tier 2-10 |   |  | September 1, 2014        | June 1, 2015   |
| Goal #2   | Explicit structures to create a mentorship program which includes mentorship for incoming secondary students will be established.  | Improvement   | Tier 2-10 | General Fund  | \$7,592  | September 1, 2014        | June 1, 2015   |
|   |  |   |           |   |  |                          |  |

Total \$258,322

**School Name:** 261600010000

# **Tenet 4: Teacher Practices and Decisions**

| A. Statement of Practice   | Addressed   | Tenet 4   | Teacher Practices and D             | ecisions  | B1. HEDI Rating  | B2. HEDI Rating Source   |  |
|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
|  |   |   |                                     |   | DI. HEDI KAUNG   | DZ. HEDI Katilig Source  |  |
| SOP 4.5 - Teachers info  | orm planning and foster student participation in their own I<br>an  | earning by using a variety of sum d progress monitoring).   | mative and formative data source    | es (e.g., screening, interim measures,  | Developing   | District-I   | ed Review  |
| •  | ion(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the major re<br>he plan and provide a strong rationale explaining why the   | • •   | tation; if a need that is not conta | ained in a major recommendation but   | is aligned to the 6 tener  | ts is identified, the district   | should address the   |
| Recommendation /<br>Rationale #1 -   | Teachers will use data to create targeted plans and adjus   | t student groupings and instruction   | onal strategies for all students.   |   |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /<br>Rationale #2 -   | Teachers will use summative and formative assessment of   | lata to inform instructional decisi   | on making, including student gro    | ouping and instructional strategies.  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /<br>Rationale #3 -   | Teachers provide frequent feedback to students based or   | n the analysis of timely data and p   | provide students with next steps    | for students to take to progress.   |  |  |  |
| D1. Goal(s): Must be in  | direct alignment with the achievement of the major reco   | nmendation or identified need.  | They should be written as speci     | fic, measurable, attainable, and releva   | ant to the recommendat   | tion.  |  |
| <u>Goal #1</u>   | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students work through the implementation of Common Core lesson   |   |                                     |   | uding report cards, inter  | im assessments, NWEA, Al   | MS Web, and student  |
| Goal #2  | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and student engage  | •   | an increase of 10% of proficienc    | y and beyond on all NYS exams in all co   | ontent areas through the   | e implementation of Comm   | non Core lesson structures   |
| Goal #3  | All WOIS teachers will use the school wide student engag  | ed assessment practices of cold of  | call/opt-out, learning target track | ers, exit tickets, active question and dis  | scussion protocols for 80  | % of instructional plans.  |  |
| D2: Goal(s): List the<br>number of the goal to<br>which the proposed<br>activity aligns. | E. Activity(ies): Must detail the actions that will take place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).  | F. Improvement/ Parent<br>Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside<br>requirements. | •                                   | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all Federal, State, and Local fund sources that will be used for the completion of each activity. | I. District Cost(s):<br>Identify the district<br>cost associated with<br>each fund source. | K1. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected start date<br>for each activity. | K2. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected end date<br>for each activity. |
| Goal #1  |   |   |                                     |   | Captured previously  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |
| Goal #2  | All teachers in grades K-12 will design and implement   |   |                                     |   | ,  |  | ·  |
|  | lessons that include the following components: engage   |   |                                     |   |  |  |  |
|  | and grapple, discuss, focus, apply and synthesize.  Formative assessment will be infused throughout the lessons.  | Improvement   | Tier 2-9                            |   |  |  |  |
| Goal #1  | Provide professional development on differentiation strategies and practices with special emphasis on   |   |                                     |   | Captured previously  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |
| 0 1 1/10   | students with disabilities. Differentiation strategies and  | Improvement   | Tier 2-20                           |   |  |  |  |
| Goal #2  | practices will be focus for informal and formal observations.   |   |                                     |   |  |  |  |
|  | All classrooms will use the strategies and protocols named in Leaders for Their Own Learning to deepen  |   |                                     |   | Captured previously  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |
| Goal #3  | implementation of Assessment for Learning (AFL) strategies and build student ownership to short and long term assessment practices as noted in Goal 3. The AFL practices will be directly connected to the implementation of differentiation strategies into daily instruction. | Improvement   | Tier 2-13                           |   |  |  |  |
| Goal #2  | The school will develop a systemic process/protocols to consistently review summative data such as State and standardized testing data.   | Improvement   | Tier 2-20                           | General Fund  | \$2,619  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |

| D2: Goal(s): List the | E. Activity(ies): Must detail the actions that will take | F. Improvement/ Parent             | G. Allowable Activity: If the | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all   | I. District Cost(s):  | K1. Timeline: Identify   | K2. Timeline: Identify |
|-----------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| number of the goal to | place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).        | Engagement Set-Aside:              | activity satisfies the        | Federal, State, and Local fund    | Identify the district | the projected start date | the projected end date |
| which the proposed    |  | Identify if the activity satisfies | Improvement set-aside,        | sources that will be used for the | cost associated with  | for each activity.       | for each activity.     |
| activity aligns.      |  | one of the mandated set-aside      | indicate the applicable       | completion of each activity.      | each fund source.     |                          |                        |
|                       |  | requirements.                      | allowable activity supported. |                                   |                       |                          |                        |
|                       |  |                                    |                               |                                   |                       |                          |                        |
|                       |  |                                    |                               |                                   |                       |                          |                        |

| D2: Goal(s): List the<br>number of the goal to<br>which the proposed<br>activity aligns. | place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).  | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside | G. Allowable Activity: If the activity satisfies the Improvement set-aside, indicate the applicable allowable activity supported. | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all<br>Federal, State, and Local fund<br>sources that will be used for the<br>completion of each activity. | ` '      | K1. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected start date<br>for each activity. | K2. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected end date<br>for each activity. |
|--|--|--|---|--|----------|--|--|
| Goal #2  | The school will work in collaboration with the district's IMT department to better integrate the use of technology to expand differentiation opportunities for students.   | Improvement  | Tier 2-11   | General Fund   | \$827    | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |
| Goal #1  | SAS funds will be secured to purchase an intervention teacher will collaborate with elementary teachers and provide direct intervention services to students in K-6 as determined by multiple data measures. A portion of the funds secured through the Mentors Matter grant will be used to provide extended learning opportunities to students in grades 7 and 8 in partnership with First Niagara Bank and St. John Fisher College. | Improvement  | Tier 2-11   | Title I  | \$41,982 | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |
| Goal #2  | SAS funds will be secured to provide Regents review and preparatory sessions for students in grades 8-12 based on multiple data measures.  | Improvement  | Tier 2-11   | Title I  | \$20,991 | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |

Total \$66,419

**School Name:** 261600010000

## **Tenet 5: Student Social and Emotional Developmental Health**

|   |  | Teriet 5. Student 5  | ocial and Emotional Dev                          | elopinentai nealtii   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|------------------------|--|--|--|
| A. Statement of Practice  | Addressed  |  |  |   | B1. HEDI Rating  | B2. HEDI Rating Source                      |                        |  |  |  |
| SOP 5.2 - The school  | leader establishes overarching systems and understanding   | gs of how to support and sustain success.  | student social and emotional d                   | evelopmental health and academic  | Highly Effective   | District-L                                  | ed Review              |  |  |  |
| C. Major Recommendati   | ion(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the major re   | ecommendation(s) and source c  | itation; if a need that is not con               | tained in a major recommendation bu   | ut is aligned to the 6 ten                                   | ets is identified, the distr                | ct should address the  |  |  |  |
| identified need within th                                       | he plan and provide a strong rationale explaining why the  | e need is being addressed.   |  |   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /  | The school will ensure that all staff members know and u   | use a strategic and comprehensiv   | e system for referral and suppo                  | rt for all students that includes researc   | h-based programs and p                                       | ractices intended to prom                   | ote academic success,  |  |  |  |
| Rationale #1 -  | family engagement and student emotional health and wellness.  The school will ensure that all stakeholders strategically use data to identify areas of need, cultivate purposeful partnerships to promote student social and emotional health and academic achievement, and leverages internal and academic achievement. |  |  |   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /  |  |  |  |   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| Rationale #2 -  | external resources to support and sustain the system.  |  |  |   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /  |  |  |  |   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| Rationale #3 -  |  |  |  |   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| D1. Goal(s): Must be in   | direct alignment with the achievement of the major reco  | mmendation or identified need  | . They should be written as spe                  | cific, measurable, attainable, and rele   | vant to the recommend  | ation.                                      |                        |  |  |  |
| C1 #4   | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students  | in grades K-8 will achieve profic  | iency levels in math and ELA as                  | designated by formative assessment in   | cluding report cards, int                                    | erim assessments, NWEA,                     | AIMS Web, and student  |  |  |  |
| Goal #1   | work through the implementation of Common Core lesso   | on structures (Workshop 2.0), da   | ta-driven instruction and studer                 | nt engaged assessments.   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| 0 1 110   | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students  | in grades 3-12 will demonstrate  | an increase of 10% of proficier                  | icy and beyond on all NYS exams in all  | content areas through t                                      | he implementation of Cor                    | nmon Core lesson       |  |  |  |
| Goal #2   | structures (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and st  | udent engaged assessments.   |  |   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| <u>Goal #3</u>  | All WOIS teachers will use the school wide student engage  | ged assessment practices of cold   | call/ no opt-out, learning target                | trackers, exit tickets, strategic questio   | n and discussion protoco                                     | ols for 80% of instructiona                 | plans                  |  |  |  |
| D2: Goal(s): List the   | E. Activity(ies): Must detail the actions that will take   | F. Improvement/ Parent   | G. Allowable Activity: If the                    | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all   | I. District Cost(s):   | K1. Timeline: Identify                      | K2. Timeline: Identify |  |  |  |
| number of the goal to<br>which the proposed<br>activity aligns. | place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).  | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside | activity satisfies the<br>Improvement set-aside, | Federal, State, and Local fund sources that will be used for the completion of each activity. | Identify the district cost associated with each fund source. | the projected start date for each activity. | •                      |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  | General Fund  | \$8,360  | September 1, 2014                           | June 1, 2015           |  |  |  |
|   | The school will organize crew advisors, members of the   |  |  |   | . ,  | ,   | ,                      |  |  |  |
|   | specialized services, counseling teams, Primary Project,   |  |  |   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| Goal #1   | Coping Power, Liberty Partnership, Upward Bound and  | Improvement  | Tier 2-10  |   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
|   | Hillside Work Scholarship to create a clear referral and   |  |  |   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
|   | follow-up process.   |  |  |   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
|   | The school will develop a system with members of the   |  |  |   | Captured previously  | September 1, 2014                           | June 1, 2015           |  |  |  |
|   | counseling, administrative and specialized services team   |  |  |   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| Goal #2   | to support teachers and crew advisors to deepen levels   | Improvement  | Tier 2-10  |   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
|   | and process for providing social emotional support for   |  | HEI Z-10   |   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
|   | and process for providing social emotional support for   |  |  |   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
|   | students.  |  |  |   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |

Total \$8,360

**School Name:** 261600010000

Tenet 5: Student Social and Emotional Developmental Health

| A Statement of Ducation  | Addroscod  | Terret 5. Student 5   | ocial and Emotional Deve  | nopinental neatti  | D4_UEDI D-+*   | D2 HEDI Dating Course  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| A. Statement of Practice | Addressed  |   |   |  | B1. HEDI Rating  | B2. HEDI Rating Source   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOP 5.3 - The school ar  | rticulates and systematically promotes a vision for social a<br>experiences and a safe and healthy   | · ·   | -   | m or program that provides learning  | Highly Effective   | District-L   | ed Review  |  |  |  |  |  |
| •                        | on(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the major re<br>e plan and provide a strong rationale explaining why the  | • •   | ation; if a need that is not cont   | ained in a major recommendation but  | is aligned to the 6 tener  | ts is identified, the distric  | t should address the   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /         | The staff will continue to receive professional developme  | ent support to use the skills and b   | ehaviors that address the social  | and emotional developmental health r   | eeds of students and pr  | omote the school commu   | nity's vision is a safe and  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rationale #1 -           | healthy environment.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /         |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rationale #2 -           |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /         |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rationale #3 -           |  |   | The same the same that the same that the same and the same and  | £:   |  | M  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal #1                  | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students  | in grades K-8 will achieve profici  | ency levels in math and ELA as d  | esignated by formative assessment inc  |  |  | AIMS Web, and student  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                          | work through the implementation of Common Core lesson  |   |   |  | antant areas through th  | a implementation of Com  | man Cara lassan  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal #2                  | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students in grades 3-12 will demonstrate an increase of 10% of proficiency and beyond on all NYS exams in all content areas through the implementation of Common Core lesson structures (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and student engaged assessments.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>Goal #3</u>           | All WOIS teachers will use the school wide student engag   | ed assessment practices of cold   | call/opt-out, learning target trac  | kers, exit tickets, active question and d  | iscussion protocols for 8  | 0% of instructional plans.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| • •                      | E. Activity(ies): Must detail the actions that will take place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).   | F. Improvement/ Parent<br>Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside<br>requirements. | G. Allowable Activity: If the activity satisfies the Improvement set-aside, indicate the applicable allowable activity supported. | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all<br>Federal, State, and Local fund<br>sources that will be used for the<br>completion of each activity. | I. District Cost(s):<br>Identify the district<br>cost associated with<br>each fund source. | K1. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected start date<br>for each activity. | K2. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected end date<br>for each activity. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal #1                  | Members of the specialized services providers group, counselors, social worker, Primary Project team, and Hillside Work Scholarship will work in collaboration with classrooms teachers and crew advisors to establish processes and protocols for developing systems that support and sustain social emotional developmental health through professional development, providing resources and in-classroom support. | Improvement   | Tier 2-10   |  | Captured previously  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal #2                  | Members of the specialized services providers group, counselors, social worker, Primary Project team, and Hillside Work Scholarship will work in collaboration with classrooms teachers and crew advisors to establish processes and protocols for developing systems that support and sustain social emotional developmental health through professional development, providing resources and in-classroom support. | Improvement   | Tier 2-10   |  | Captured previously  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                          |  |   |   | Total  | \$0  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Total \$0

School Name: 261600010000

### Tenet 5: Student Social and Emotional Developmental Health

| A Ctatamant of Duartics   | Addusesed  | Tenet 3. Student 3                 | ocial and Emotional Deve            |   | D4 LIEDI B. C.             | D2 HEDI Detine Commi                    |                           |
|---------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| A. Statement of Practice  | Addressed  |                                    |                                     |   | B1. HEDI Rating            | B2. HEDI Rating Source                  |                           |
|                           | eholders work together to develop a common understand earning, and fostering a sense of ownership for providing  |                                    | -                                   | •   | Highly Effective           | District-L                              | ed Review                 |
| C. Major Recommendati     | ion(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the major re   | ecommendation(s) and source c      | itation; if a need that is not con  | tained in a major recommendation bu         | t is aligned to the 6 ter  | nets is identified, the distr           | ict should address the    |
| identified need within tl | he plan and provide a strong rationale explaining why the  | <u> </u>                           |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
| Recommendation /          | The school community will implement a system for mon   | itoring and responding to studer   | nt social and emotional develop     | mental health needs, which ensures the      | at adaptive measures a     | re implemented to specific              | to individual student     |
| Rationale #1 -            | needs when growth is not actualized.   |                                    |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
| Recommendation /          |  |                                    |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
| Rationale #2 -            |  |                                    |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
| Recommendation /          |  |                                    |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
| Rationale #3 -            |  |                                    |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
| D1. Goal(s): Must be in   | direct alignment with the achievement of the major reco<br>The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all student  |                                    |                                     |   |                            |   | AINAC Male and student    |
| Goal #1                   | * *  |                                    | •                                   | •   | iciualing report caras, ii | iteriii assessiiieiits, ivvve           | , Alivis Web, and Student |
|                           | work through the implementation of Common Core less<br>The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all student  |                                    |                                     |   | content areas through      | the implementation of Co                | mmon Core Jesson          |
| Goal #2                   | structures (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and s   | •                                  | e all illerease of 10% of proficiel | icy and beyond on all 1413 exams in all     | Content areas tinough      | the implementation of Co                | illillon core lesson      |
| Goal #3                   | All WOIS teachers will use the school wide student enga  |                                    | call/ no opt-out, learning targe    | t trackers, exit tickets, strategic questio | n and discussion proto     | cols for 80% of instruction             | al plans.                 |
| D2: Goal(s): List the     | E. Activity(ies): Must detail the actions that will take   | F. Improvement/ Parent             | G. Allowable Activity: If the       | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all             | I. District Cost(s):       | K1. Timeline: Identify                  | K2. Timeline: Identify    |
| number of the goal to     | place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).  | Engagement Set-Aside:              | activity satisfies the              |   | Identify the district      | the projected start date                | the projected end date    |
| which the proposed        | \$(-/·   | Identify if the activity satisfies |                                     |   | cost associated with       | for each activity.                      | for each activity.        |
| activity aligns.          |  | one of the mandated set-aside      | •                                   | completion of each activity.                | each fund source.          |   | ,                         |
| , ,                       |  | requirements.                      | allowable activity supported.       | , ,   |                            |   |                           |
|                           |  |                                    |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
|                           | The manufactor of the execution of the e |                                    |                                     |   | Captured previously        | September 1, 2014                       | June 1, 2015              |
|                           | The members of the specialized services providers  |                                    |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
|                           | group, administrators, counselors, social workers,<br>psychologists, Primary Project, parent liaison and   |                                    |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
|                           | Hillside Work Scholarship advocates will work in   |                                    |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
| Goal #1                   | collaboration with classroom teachers and crew   | Improvement                        | Tier 2-10                           |   |                            |   |                           |
| Godi#1                    | advisors, students and parents to implement anti-  | Improvement                        | 1101 2 10                           |   |                            |   |                           |
|                           | bullying initiatives including professional development,   |                                    |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
|                           | mediations, peace circles, mediations and parent   |                                    |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
|                           | meetings.  |                                    |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
|                           |  |                                    |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
|                           | The members of the specialized services providers  |                                    |                                     |   | Captured previously        | September 1, 2014                       | June 1, 2015              |
|                           | group, administrators, counselors, social workers,   |                                    |                                     |   | , ,                        | , | , , ,                     |
|                           | psychologists, Primary Project, parent liaison and   |                                    |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
|                           | Hillside Work Scholarship advocates will work in   |                                    |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
| Goal #2                   | collaboration with classroom teachers and crew   | Improvement                        | Tier 2-10                           |   |                            |   |                           |
|                           | advisors, students and parents to implement anti-  | ·                                  |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
|                           | bullying initiatives including professional development,   |                                    |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
|                           | mediations, peace circles, mediations and parent   |                                    |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
|                           | meetings.  |                                    |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
|                           |  |                                    |                                     |   |                            |   |                           |
|                           |  |                                    |                                     | Total                                       | \$0                        |   |                           |

**School Name:** 261600010000

# **Tenet 5: Student Social and Emotional Developmental Health**

|  |   | Tenet 5: Student S   | ocial and Emotional Dev   | elopmental Health  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| A. Statement of Practice   | Addressed   |  |   |  | B1. HEDI Rating  | B2. HEDI Rating Source   |  |
| SOP 5.5 - The schoo  | l leader and student support staff work together with teac<br>deve  | chers to establish structures to sue lopmental health needs.                                 | upport the use of data to respon                                    | d to student social and emotional  | Effective  | District-L   | ed Review  |
| C. Major Recommendati  | on(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the major re   | ecommendation(s) and source ci   | tation; if a need that is not con                                   | tained in a major recommendation b   | ut is aligned to the 6 ten   | ets is identified, the distri  | ct should address the  |
| identified need within th  | ne plan and provide a strong rationale explaining why the   | e need is being addressed.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /<br>Rationale #1 -   | The school leader in collaboration with stakeholders will   | create a plan with systems and s   | tructures for how to collect, an                                    | alyze and use a wide variety of data to  | address the social and e   | motional developmentl he   | ealth needs.   |
| Recommendation / Rationale #2 -  | The school community will create a strategic plan based   | on data to deliver and monitor ti  | mely services and supports to s                                     | tudents.   |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Rationale #3 -   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | direct alignment with the achievement of the major reco   | mmendation or identified need.   | They should be written as spe                                       | cific, measurable, attainable, and rele  | vant to the recommend  | ation.   |  |
| Goal #1  | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students work through the implementation of Common Core lesson   | in grades K-8 will achieve profic<br>on structures (Workshop 2.0), da                        | iency levels in math and ELA as<br>ta-driven instruction and studer | designated by formative assessment in tengaged assessments.  | ncluding report cards, int   | erim assessments, NWEA,  |  |
| <u>Goal #2</u>   | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students structures (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and st   | _  | an increase of 10% of proficier                                     | cy and beyond on all NYS exams in all  | content areas through t  | he implementation of Con   | nmon Core lesson   |
| <u>Goal #3</u>   | All WOIS teachers will use the school wide student engage   | ged assessment practices of cold   | call/opt-out, learning target tra                                   | ckers, exit tickets, active question and   | discussion protocols for   | 80% of instructional plans.  |  |
| D2: Goal(s): List the<br>number of the goal to<br>which the proposed<br>activity aligns. | E. Activity(ies): Must detail the actions that will take place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).  | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside |   | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all<br>Federal, State, and Local fund<br>sources that will be used for the<br>completion of each activity. | I. District Cost(s):<br>Identify the district<br>cost associated with<br>each fund source. | K1. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected start date<br>for each activity. | K2. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected end date<br>for each activity. |
| Goal #1  | The school will develop a system with members of the counseling, administrative and specialized services team to support teachers and crew advisors to deepen levels and processes for providing social emotional support for students. | Improvement  | Tier 2-10   |  | Captured previously  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |
| Goal #2  | The school will develop a system with members of the counseling, administrative and specialized services team to support teachers and crew advisors to deepen levels and processes for providing social emotional support for students. | Improvement  | Tier 2-10   |  | Captured previously  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |
| Goal #3  | Classroom teachers and crew advisors will implement and support Assessment for Learning strategies and practices which will include components for the established character traits and habits of scholarship.                          | Not Applicable   |   |  | Captured previously  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |

Total \$0

**School Name:** 261600010000

### **Tenet 6: Family and Community Engagement**

|                          |  | Tenet 6: F                         | amily and Community En             | gagement                                   |                            |                               |                           |
|--------------------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| A. Statement of Practice | Addressed  |                                    |                                    |  | B1. HEDI Rating            | B2. HEDI Rating Source        |                           |
| SOP 6.2 - 1              | The school leader ensures that regular communication with  | h student and families fosters th  | eir high expectations for studen   | t academic achievement.                    | Highly Effective           | District-L                    | ed Review                 |
| . Major Recommendat      | ion(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the major re   | ecommendation(s) and source o      | itation; if a need that is not cor | tained in a major recommendation b         | ut is aligned to the 6 ten | ets is identified, the distri | ct should address the     |
| lentified need within t  | ne plan and provide a strong rationale explaining why the  | e need is being addressed.         |                                    |  |                            |                               |                           |
| ecommendation /          | The school community will continue to implement proac  | tive plans to create diverse and   | meaningful opportunities for en    | gaging students, families and commur       | nity stakeholders in conv  | ersation regarding high ex    | pectations for student    |
| ationale #1 -            | academic achievement and uses multiple points of entry   |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                               |                           |
| Recommendation /         | The school community will review and assess routinely h  | ow parents respond to the effor    | ts to build family-school partner  | ships to foster high expectations for s    | tudent academic achieve    | ment and make timely adj      | ustments if strategies ar |
| Rationale #2 -           | not working  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                               |                           |
| Recommendation /         | The school community in collaboration with staff will con  |                                    |                                    |  |                            | ·                             | y education with          |
| Rationale #3 -           | advanced competence. School staff will continue to regu  |                                    |                                    |  | ~                          |                               |                           |
| )1. Goal(s): Must be in  | direct alignment with the achievement of the major reco  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                               |                           |
| Goal #1                  | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students  | •                                  | •                                  | -  | ncluding report cards, int | erim assessments, NWEA,       | AIMS Web, and student     |
| <u>0001#1</u>            | work through the implementation of Common Core lesson  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                               |                           |
| Goal #2                  | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students  | <del>-</del>                       | an increase of 10% of proficier    | ncy and beyond on all NYS exams in al      | I content areas through t  | he implementation of Con      | nmon Core lesson          |
| Gournz                   | structures (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and st  | udent engaged assessments.         |                                    |  |                            |                               |                           |
| <u>Goal #3</u>           | All WOIS teachers will use the school wide student engage  | ged assessment practices of cold   | call/ no opt-out, learning target  | trackers, exit tickets, strategic question | on and discussion protoco  | ols for 80% of instructional  | plans.                    |
| D2: Goal(s): List the    | E. Activity(ies): Must detail the actions that will take   | F. Improvement/ Parent             | G. Allowable Activity: If the      | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all            | I. District Cost(s):       | K1. Timeline: Identify        | K2. Timeline: Identify    |
| number of the goal to    | place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).  | Engagement Set-Aside:              | activity satisfies the             | Federal, State, and Local fund             | Identify the district      | the projected start date      | the projected end date    |
| which the proposed       |  | Identify if the activity satisfies |                                    | sources that will be used for the          | cost associated with       | for each activity.            | for each activity.        |
| activity aligns.         |  | one of the mandated set-aside      | • •                                | completion of each activity.               | each fund source.          |                               |                           |
|                          |  | requirements.                      | allowable activity supported.      |  |                            |                               |                           |
|                          |  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                               |                           |
| Goal #1                  | Work callaboratively with the Office of Barent   |                                    |                                    | General Fund                               | \$395                      | September 1, 2014             | June 1, 2015              |
|                          | Work collaboratively with the Office of Parent   |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                               |                           |
| Goal #2                  | Engagement, WOIS SPBT and PTO classrooms to offer calendars, newsletters, handbooks, memos, contracts, | Parent Engagement                  |                                    |  |                            |                               |                           |
|                          | conferences, and other regular communication systems   | Parent Engagement                  |                                    |  |                            |                               |                           |
|                          | in pertinent languages.  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                               |                           |
|                          | in pertinent languages.  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                               |                           |
|                          |  |                                    |                                    | General Fund                               | \$73,036                   | September 1, 2014             | June 1, 2015              |
|                          | The WOIS staff and faculty will regularly host   |                                    |                                    |  | 7.2)000                    |                               | -,                        |
|                          | opportunities to authentically share/showcase student  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                               |                           |
|                          | work and progress through exhibitions, celebrations of   |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                               |                           |
| Goal #3                  | learning, Student Led Conferences, Outward Bound   | Parent Engagement                  |                                    |  |                            |                               |                           |
|                          | experiences, School Wide Morning Meetings, PTC   |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                               |                           |
|                          | sponsored events.  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                               |                           |
|                          | sponsored events.  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                               |                           |
|                          |  |                                    |                                    |  |                            |                               |                           |

Total \$73,431

**School Name:** 261600010000

# **Tenet 6: Family and Community Engagement**

| A. Statement of Practice   | Addressed   |  | anning and Community En            |  | B1. HEDI Rating  | B2. HEDI Rating Source   |  |
|--|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| SOP 6.3 - The school eng   | ages in effective planning and reciprocal communication v   | with family and community stake learning.  | cholders so that student and nee   | ds are identified and used to augment  | Highly Effective   | District-L   | ed Review  |
| C. Major Recommendati  | on(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the major re   | ecommendation(s) and source c  | itation; if a need that is not con | tained in a major recommendation bu  | t is aligned to the 6 ten  | ets is identified, the distri  | ict should address the   |
|  | ne plan and provide a strong rationale explaining why the   | <del>_</del>   |                                    |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /   | The school staff will regularly monitor the effectiveness of  |  | olicitations of family feedback in | all languages concerning student achie   | vement, needs, issues a  | and concerns using multipl   | e interactive  |
| Rationale #1 -   | communication tools and makes revisions to the plan wh  | en necessary.  |                                    |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation / Rationale #2 -  |   |  |                                    |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /   |   |  |                                    |  |  |  |  |
| Rationale #3 -   |   |  |                                    |  |  |  |  |
| D1. Goal(s): Must be in  | direct alignment with the achievement of the major reco   | mmendation or identified need.   | . They should be written as spe    | cific, measurable, attainable, and relev   | ant to the recommend   | ation.   |  |
| Goal #1  | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students work through the implementation of Common Core lessor   | -  | -                                  | -  | cluding report cards, int  | erim assessments, NWEA,  | AIMS Web, and student  |
| Goal #2  | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students   |  | an increase of 10% of proficien    | cy and beyond on all NYS exams in all  | content areas through t  | he implementation of Con   | nmon Core lesson   |
| <u> </u>   | structures (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and st   | udent engaged assessments.   |                                    |  |  |  |  |
| <u>Goal #3</u>   | All WOIS teachers will use the school wide student engage   | ged assessment practices of cold   | call/no opt-out, learning target   | trackers, exit tickets, strategic question   | and discussion protoco   | ols for 80% of instructional   | plans.   |
| D2: Goal(s): List the<br>number of the goal to<br>which the proposed<br>activity aligns. | place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).   | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside |                                    | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all<br>Federal, State, and Local fund<br>sources that will be used for the<br>completion of each activity. | I. District Cost(s):<br>Identify the district<br>cost associated with<br>each fund source. | K1. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected start date<br>for each activity. | K2. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected end date<br>for each activity. |
| Goal #1<br>Goal #2<br>Goal #3  | The school will work with IMT and district leaders to expand the communication process to include documents in multiple languages, and electronic access including social media and text messaging systems. | Parent Engagement  |                                    | General Fund   | \$745  |  |  |
|  | medianing social media and text messaging systems.  |  |                                    |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                                    |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                                    |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                                    |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                                    |  |  |  |  |

Total \$745

**School Name:** 261600010000

### **Tenet 6: Family and Community Engagement**

| A. Statement of Practice   | Addressed   |   |   |  | B1. HEDI Rating  | B2. HEDI Rating Source                      |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|
|  |   |   |   |  | DIT HEDI Katilig   | DEL TIED! Ruting Source                     |  |
| SOP 6.4 - The school community partners with families and community agencies to promote and provide training across all areas (academic and social and emotional developmental health) to support student success. |   |   |   | Highly Effective   | District-Led Review  |   |  |
| C. Major Recommendati  | ion(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the major re  | ecommendation(s) and source ci  | itation; if a need that is not con  | tained in a major recommendation bu  | ut is aligned to the 6 ten   | ets is identified, the distri               | ct should address the  |
| identified need within th  | he plan and provide a strong rationale explaining why the   |   |   |  |  |   |  |
| Recommendation /   | The school leader in collaboration with pertinent school staff, community agency partners, will continue to develop/expand plans to ensure that all staff members understand how to create and sustain high levels of family engagement   |   |   |  |  |   |  |
| Rationale #1 -   | by partnering with parents to deepen the collaborative e  | effort to support student learning  | g and growth.   |  |  |   |  |
| Recommendation /   |   |   |   |  |  |   |  |
| Rationale #2 -   |   |   |   |  |  |   |  |
| Recommendation /   |   |   |   |  |  |   |  |
| Rationale #3 -   |   |   |   |  |  |   |  |
| D1. Goal(s): Must be in o  | direct alignment with the achievement of the major reco   |   |   |  |  |   |  |
| <u>Goal #1</u>   | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students work through the implementation of Common Core lesson   |   |   |  | cluding report cards, int  | erim assessments, NWEA,                     | AIMS Web, and student  |
| Goal #2  | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students in grades 3-12 will demonstrate an increase of 10% of proficiency and beyond on all NYS exams in all content areas through the implementation of Common Core lesson structures (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and student engaged assessments. |   |   |  |  |   | nmon Core lesson   |
| Goal #3  | All WOIS teachers will use the school wide student engage   | ged assessment practices of cold  | call/ no opt-out, learning target   | trackers, exit tickets, strategic question   | n and discussion protoco   | ols for 80% of instructional                | plans.   |
| D2: Goal(s): List the  | E. Activity(ies): Must detail the actions that will take  | F. Improvement/ Parent  | G. Allowable Activity: If the   |  | 1. 5: . : . 6 ./ \   |   |  |
|  | place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).   | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside                  | activity satisfies the<br>Improvement set-aside,  | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all<br>Federal, State, and Local fund<br>sources that will be used for the<br>completion of each activity. | I. District Cost(s):<br>Identify the district<br>cost associated with<br>each fund source. | the projected start date                    | K2. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected end date<br>for each activity. |
| number of the goal to which the proposed   | place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).   | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside                  | activity satisfies the<br>Improvement set-aside,<br>indicate the applicable                         | Federal, State, and Local fund sources that will be used for the completion of each activity.  | Identify the district cost associated with each fund source.                               | the projected start date for each activity. | the projected end date   |
| number of the goal to which the proposed activity aligns.  | place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).  The school community will continue to assess and   | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside                  | activity satisfies the<br>Improvement set-aside,<br>indicate the applicable                         | Federal, State, and Local fund sources that will be used for the   | Identify the district cost associated with   | the projected start date for each activity. | the projected end date   |
| number of the goal to which the proposed activity aligns.  | place in order to achieve the identified goal(s).  The school community will continue to assess and promote lessons/activities which promote culturally   | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside                  | activity satisfies the<br>Improvement set-aside,<br>indicate the applicable                         | Federal, State, and Local fund sources that will be used for the completion of each activity.  | Identify the district cost associated with each fund source.                               | the projected start date for each activity. | the projected end date   |
| number of the goal to which the proposed activity aligns.  | The school community will continue to assess and promote lessons/activities which promote culturally responsive practices and build equity and access for all   | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside<br>requirements. | activity satisfies the<br>Improvement set-aside,<br>indicate the applicable                         | Federal, State, and Local fund sources that will be used for the completion of each activity.  | Identify the district cost associated with each fund source.                               | the projected start date for each activity. | the projected end date   |
| number of the goal to<br>which the proposed<br>activity aligns.  | The school community will continue to assess and promote lessons/activities which promote culturally responsive practices and build equity and access for all students/families. This will be accomplished in   | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside                  | activity satisfies the Improvement set-aside, indicate the applicable allowable activity supported. | Federal, State, and Local fund sources that will be used for the completion of each activity.  | Identify the district cost associated with each fund source.                               | the projected start date for each activity. | the projected end date   |
| number of the goal to<br>which the proposed<br>activity aligns.  | The school community will continue to assess and promote lessons/activities which promote culturally responsive practices and build equity and access for all students/families. This will be accomplished in collaboration with parent, district and community   | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside<br>requirements. | activity satisfies the Improvement set-aside, indicate the applicable allowable activity supported. | Federal, State, and Local fund sources that will be used for the completion of each activity.  | Identify the district cost associated with each fund source.                               | the projected start date for each activity. | the projected end date   |
| number of the goal to<br>which the proposed<br>activity aligns.  | The school community will continue to assess and promote lessons/activities which promote culturally responsive practices and build equity and access for all students/families. This will be accomplished in   | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside<br>requirements. | activity satisfies the Improvement set-aside, indicate the applicable allowable activity supported. | Federal, State, and Local fund sources that will be used for the completion of each activity.  | Identify the district cost associated with each fund source.                               | the projected start date for each activity. | the projected end date   |
| number of the goal to<br>which the proposed<br>activity aligns.  | The school community will continue to assess and promote lessons/activities which promote culturally responsive practices and build equity and access for all students/families. This will be accomplished in collaboration with parent, district and community   | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside<br>requirements. | activity satisfies the Improvement set-aside, indicate the applicable allowable activity supported. | Federal, State, and Local fund sources that will be used for the completion of each activity.  | Identify the district cost associated with each fund source.                               | the projected start date for each activity. | the projected end date   |
| number of the goal to<br>which the proposed<br>activity aligns.  | The school community will continue to assess and promote lessons/activities which promote culturally responsive practices and build equity and access for all students/families. This will be accomplished in collaboration with parent, district and community   | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside<br>requirements. | activity satisfies the Improvement set-aside, indicate the applicable allowable activity supported. | Federal, State, and Local fund sources that will be used for the completion of each activity.  | Identify the district cost associated with each fund source.                               | the projected start date for each activity. | the projected end date   |
| number of the goal to<br>which the proposed<br>activity aligns.  | The school community will continue to assess and promote lessons/activities which promote culturally responsive practices and build equity and access for all students/families. This will be accomplished in collaboration with parent, district and community   | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside<br>requirements. | activity satisfies the Improvement set-aside, indicate the applicable allowable activity supported. | Federal, State, and Local fund sources that will be used for the completion of each activity.  | Identify the district cost associated with each fund source.                               | the projected start date for each activity. | the projected end date   |
| number of the goal to<br>which the proposed<br>activity aligns.  | The school community will continue to assess and promote lessons/activities which promote culturally responsive practices and build equity and access for all students/families. This will be accomplished in collaboration with parent, district and community   | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside<br>requirements. | activity satisfies the Improvement set-aside, indicate the applicable allowable activity supported. | Federal, State, and Local fund sources that will be used for the completion of each activity.  | Identify the district cost associated with each fund source.                               | the projected start date for each activity. | the projected end date   |

Total \$12,405

**School Name:** 261600010000

# Tenet 6: Family and Community Engagement

| A. Statement of Practice   | Addressed   |  | •                                 |  | B1. HEDI Rating  | B2. HEDI Rating Source   |  |  |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| SOP 6.5 - The school sh  | nares data in a way that promotes dialogue among parents,<br>and empowers families to understand and use  |  |                                   |  | Highly Effective   | District-L   | ed Review  |  |
| C. Major Recommendati  | ion(s)/Rationale: In the boxes below identify the major re  | commendation(s) and source cit   | tation; if a need that is not con | ained in a major recommendation but  | t is aligned to the 6 tend   | ets is identified, the distric   | t should address the   |  |
| identified need within th  | he plan and provide a strong rationale explaining why the   | need is being addressed.   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /   | The school community will continue to ensure that student and other pertinent data is shared in a way that families can understand a child's learning needs and successes, proactively advocate for their children and partner with the |  |                                   |  |  |  | nd partner with the  |  |
| Rationale #1 -   | school to provide appropriate student support.  |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /   |   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rationale #2 -   |   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation /   |   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rationale #3 -   |   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| D1. Goal(s): Must be in  | direct alignment with the achievement of the major reco   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal #1  | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students   | •  | •                                 |  | luding report cards, inte  | rim assessments, NWEA, A   | IMS Web, and student   |  |
| <u>G001#1</u>  | work through the implementation of Common Core lesso  | , , ,  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal #2  | The World of Inquiry School will have 80% of all students in grades 3-12 will demonstrate an increase of 10% of proficiency and beyond on all NYS exams in all content areas through the implementation of Common Core lesson           |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>0001112</u>   | structures (Workshop 2.0), data driven instruction and student engaged assessments  |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>Goal #3</u>   | All WOIS teachers will use the school wide student engaged assessment practices of cold call/ no opt-out, learning target trackers, exit tickets, strategic question and discussion protocols for 80% of instructional plans.           |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| D2: Goal(s): List the<br>number of the goal to<br>which the proposed<br>activity aligns. |   | Engagement Set-Aside:<br>Identify if the activity satisfies<br>one of the mandated set-aside | •                                 | H. Fund Source(s): Identify all<br>Federal, State, and Local fund<br>sources that will be used for the<br>completion of each activity. | I. District Cost(s):<br>Identify the district<br>cost associated with<br>each fund source. | K1. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected start date<br>for each activity. | K2. Timeline: Identify<br>the projected end date<br>for each activity. |  |
| Goal #1<br>Goal #2   | ALL WOIS teachers will implement Student Engaged  |  | Tier 2-13                         |  | Captured previously  | September 1, 2014  | June 1, 2015   |  |
| Goal #3  | Assessment practices across all grade levels and content  | t<br>Improvement   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00dl #3  | areas. The information will be shared regularly shared  |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | with students and families to build greater   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | understanding and ownership to the learning and   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | assessment processes. The school will also continue to  |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | host Student Led Conferences.   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |

Total \$0

**School Name:** 261600010000

# **Fiscal Summary Page**

| Improvement Set-Aside Budget Summary by Tenet and Statement of Practice  |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
|--|---------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| Statement of Practice  | Improvement<br>Activities | Parent<br>Engagement<br>Activities | Other Activities | Total Amount<br>Budgeted |
| Tenet 2 as a Whole   |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
| SOP 2.1 - The district works collaboratively with the school to provide opportunities and supports for the school leader to create, develop and nurture a school           |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
| environment that is responsive to the needs of the entire school community.  | \$0                       | \$0                                | \$0              | \$0                      |
| SOP 2.2 - The School leader ensures that the school community shares the Specific, Measurable, Ambitious, Results-oriented, and Timely (SMART) goals/mission and           |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
| long-term vision that address the priorities outlined in the School Comprehensive Educational Plan (SCEP).   | \$16,535                  | \$0                                | \$0              | \$16,535                 |
| SOP 2.3 - Leaders make strategic decisions to organize programmatic, human, and fiscal capital resources.  | \$0                       | \$0                                | \$0              | <b>\$0</b>               |
| SOP 2.4 - The school leader has a fully functional system in place aligned to the district's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) to conduct targeted and         |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
| frequent observation and track progress of teacher practices based on student data and feedback.   | \$21,905                  | \$0                                | \$0              | \$21,905                 |
| SOP 2.5 - Leaders effectively use evidence-based systems and structures to examine and improve critical individual and school-wide practices as defined in the SCEP        |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
| (student achievement; curriculum and teacher practices; leadership development; community/family engagement; and student social and emotional developmental                |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
| health).   | \$0                       | \$0                                | \$0              | \$0                      |
|  |                           |                                    | •                |                          |
| Tenet 3 as a Whole   |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
| SOP 3.1 - The district works collaboratively with the school(s) to ensure CCLS curriculum that provide 21st Century and College and Career Readiness skills in all content |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
| areas and provides fiscal and human resources for implementation.  | \$0                       | \$0                                | \$0              | \$0                      |
| SOP 3.2 - The school leader ensures and supports the quality implementation of a systemic plan of rigorous and coherent curricula appropriately aligned to the             |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
| Common Core Learning Standards (CCLS) that is monitored and adapted to meet the needs of students.   | \$81,282                  | \$0                                | \$0              | \$81,282                 |
| SOP 3.3 - Teachers develop and ensure that unit and lesson plans used included data-driven instruction (DDI) protocols that are appropriately aligned to the CCLS and      |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
| NYS content standards and address student achievement needs.   | \$260,029                 | \$0                                | \$0              | \$260,029                |
| SOP 3.4 - The school leader and teachers have developed a comprehensive plan for teachers to partner within and across all grades and subjects to create                   |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
| interdisciplinary curricula targeting the arts, technology, and other enrichment opportunities.  | \$394,290                 | \$0                                | \$0              | \$394,290                |
| SOP 3.5 - Teachers implement a comprehensive system for using formative and summative assessments for strategic short and long-range curriculum planning that              |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
| involves student reflection, tracking of, and ownership of learning.   | \$4,379                   | \$0                                | \$0              | \$4,379                  |
|  | <del>-</del>              |                                    | _                |                          |
| Tenet 4 as a Whole   |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
| SOP 4.1 - The district works collaboratively with the school to provide opportunities and supports for teachers to develop strategies and practices and addresses          |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
| effective planning and account for student data, needs, goals, and levels of engagement.   | \$0                       | \$0                                | \$0              | \$0                      |
| SOP 4.2 - School and teacher leaders ensure that instructional practices are organized around annual, unit, and daily lesson plans that address all student goals and      |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
| needs.   | \$0                       | \$0                                | \$0              | \$0                      |
| SOP 4.3 - Teachers provide coherent, and appropriately aligned Common Core Learning Standards (CCLS)-based instruction that leads to multiple points of access for all     |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
| students.  | \$0                       | \$0                                | \$0              | \$0                      |
| SOP 4.4 - Teachers and students work together to implement a program/plan to create a learning environment that is responsive to students" varied experiences and          |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
| tailored to the strengths and needs of all students.   | \$258,322                 | \$0                                | \$0              | \$258,322                |
| SOP 4.5 - Teachers inform planning and foster student participation in their own learning by using a variety of summative and formative data sources (e.g., screening,     |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
| interim measures, and progress monitoring).  | \$66,419                  | \$0                                | \$0              | \$66,419                 |

**School Name:** 261600010000

# **Fiscal Summary Page**

| Improvement Set-Aside Budget Summary by Tenet and Statement of Practice   |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| Statement of Practice   | Improvement<br>Activities | Parent<br>Engagement<br>Activities | Other Activities | Total Amount<br>Budgeted |
| Tenet 5 as a Whole  |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
| SOP 5.1 - The district creates policy and works collaboratively with the school to provide opportunities and resources that positively support students' social and emotional developmental health.   | \$0                       | \$0                                | \$0              | \$0                      |
| SOP 5.2 - The school leader establishes overarching systems and understandings of how to support and sustain student social and emotional developmental health and academic success.  | \$8,360                   | \$0                                | \$0              | \$8,360                  |
| SOP 5.3 - The school articulates and systematically promotes a vision for social and emotional developmental health that is aligned to a curriculum or program that provides learning experiences and a safe and healthy school environment for families, teachers, and students.   | \$0                       | \$0                                | \$0              | \$0                      |
| SOP 5.4 - All school stakeholders work together to develop a common understanding of the importance of their contributions in creating a school community that is safe, conducive to learning, and fostering a sense of ownership for providing social and emotional developmental health supports tied to the school's vision. | \$0                       | \$0                                | \$0              | \$0                      |
| SOP 5.5 - The school leader and student support staff work together with teachers to establish structures to support the use of data to respond to student social and emotional developmental health needs.   | \$0                       | \$0                                | \$0              | \$0                      |
| Tenet 6 as a Whole  |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
| SOP 6.1 - The district has a comprehensive family and community engagement strategic plan that states the expectations around creating and sustaining a welcoming environment for families, reciprocal communication, and establishing partnerships with community organizations and families.                                  | \$0                       | \$0                                | \$0              | \$0                      |
| SOP 6.2 - The school leader ensures that regular communication with student and families fosters their high expectations for student academic achievement.  | \$0                       | \$73,431                           | \$0              | \$73,431                 |
| SOP 6.3 - The school engages in effective planning and reciprocal communication with family and community stakeholders so that student and needs are identified and used to augment learning.   | \$0                       | \$745                              | \$0              | \$745                    |
| SOP 6.4 - The school community partners with families and community agencies to promote and provide training across all areas (academic and social and emotional developmental health) to support student success.  | \$12,405                  | \$0                                | \$0              | \$12,405                 |
| SOP 6.5 - The school shares data in a way that promotes dialogue among parents, students, and school community members centered on student learning and success and encourages and empowers families to understand and use data to advocate for appropriate support services for their children.                                |                           |                                    |                  |                          |
|   | \$0                       | \$0                                | \$0              | \$0                      |
| BUILDING TOTALS   | \$1,123,926               | \$74,176                           | \$0              | \$1,198,102              |

Amount Matches Total Funds Budgeted for Improvement Activities on Tier 2 Allowable Activities Page?

Yes